

*Saidrahimova, D.S, Khabulova, U.S,
PhD in Philology, Associate Professor of the
Kyrgyz-Uzbek University Osh, Kyrgyzstan – saidrahimova71@mail.ru*

Abstract: This article contributes to exploring, from a general perspective, the various methods of nomination of candidates within political parties, taking into account the balance between, on the one hand, the scope of autonomy and self-governance granted to political parties under the principle of freedom of association and, on the other hand, the degree of external constraints and regulations in their internal work.

Keywords: difference, democratic, political, transitional, paradigm, proliferation, reference, dominant paradigm, proliferation, increased, resolution.

Annotatsiya: Ushbu maqola siyosiy partiyalar ichida nomzodlarni ko'rsatishning turli usullarini umumiy nuqtayi nazardan o'rganishga yordam beradi, boshqaruvi siyosiy partiyalarga berilgan birlashma erkinligi prinsipi va boshqa tomondan, ularning ichki faoliyatidagi tashqi cheklovlar va qoidalar darajasi.

Kalit so'zlar: farq, demokratik, siyosiy, o'tish davri, paradigma, proliferatsiya, mos yozuvlar, dominant paradigma, proliferatsiya, o'sish, rezolyutsiya.

When the eligibility criteria are fulfilled, the nomination stage for the candidates may start. In Chile, for example, the Constitution states that the electoral process will be public, which has an impact on candidates of political parties, who are placed in the same position as independent candidates in order to present candidates for the elections. The Chilean Electoral Act further states that political parties must follow a system of primary elections to nominate the candidates for President, MPs and mayors, for which certain rules are established: a) Political parties can participate in the primary election to nominate candidates for the different posts, alone or together with other political parties and independent candidates, forming an electoral block; b) A list of candidates must be submitted by each of the electoral constituencies; c) The procedure for the primary election must cover all constituencies.

The democratic principle serves to establish the guidelines for the procedure to select candidates within political parties. Article 17 of the German Law on Political Parties establishes that the nomination must be done by secret ballot. The Portuguese Law is more detailed Article 33 of which not only imposes a secret ballot, but also the personal ballot in all the elections and referenda organised within the parties. Furthermore, Article of the Portuguese Law sets out a number of general rules on electoral procedures that are applicable to the nomination of candidates. Firstly, this article establishes that electoral rolls shall be drawn up and access to them shall be guaranteed within a reasonable period of time; secondly, it states that every candidature shall be given equal opportunities and shall be treated impartially. The secret ballot is also required in Peru's electoral legislation. Transparency is a principle that is also imposed on the nomination procedure by a number of electoral laws, as exemplified by Serbia. Article 9 of the Law on elections of members of Parliament establishes the right of citizens to be fully informed about the nominated candidates.. The importance of political pluralism and the right to participate in public affairs are also the underlying principles of the procedure for the nomination of candidates, as defined by the constitutions of Argentina, Chile, Costa Rica, Mexico and Spain, among others. . In most of the states under analysis, the existing legislation on political parties leaves the final decision to them. Some are quite “centralised”, and party leaders have extensive powers in choosing their candidates, as is still the case in most Central and Eastern European countries. In the most decentralised parties, the decisions are taken by grassroot party members, if there are closed primaries, or in public decisions if there are open primaries. The level of internal democracy of the political party will therefore depend on the “degree of centralisation” (how much power is given to regional, district and local bodies in the selection process), the “scale of

participation” in the nomination and the “scope” of decision-making. Mechanisms of direct democracy have been introduced in the statutes and internal regulations of some political parties, such as the Green Federation in Italy, the Reform Movement and the Socialist Party in Belgium, the Union for a Popular Movement and the Socialist Party in France, as well as by the Green Party in Ireland. Inclusive mechanisms are maintained according to the general rule of representative democracy by other parties, where the right to vote is restricted to the members’ delegates and eligibility is more limited. Freedom and democracy are the main principles that inspire the regulation of political parties in European and Latin American countries. The first principle is based on the nature of political parties, which are not to be considered state organs. The second principle is relevant because of the vital role played by political parties in the public sphere. The need for an appropriate balance between freedom and democracy explains the different ways in which each country regulates political parties. Some countries consider political parties primarily from the perspective of freedom of association (the “liberal view”). In this case, the requirements imposed on political parties in general are the same as, or similar to, those that bind other private associations. Other countries also take into account that political parties contribute to the expression of political opinion and are tools for the presentation of candidates in elections. Although there is a trend to regulate the functioning of political parties through legislation, in many cases this regulation does not affect the selection of candidates, but other issues, such as the registration process, the political parties’ financing, etc. However, there is also a growing number of states that specifically regulate the nomination of candidates. Often, laws on political parties are quite flexible. In general, these norms refer to the statutes or the constitutions of political parties in order to set out, in detail, the proceedings to follow and the bodies entitled to select candidates. The main reason that warrants legislative intervention in the selection of candidates is the representative role that political parties are supposed to play in elections. Indeed, the most stringent laws on nomination of candidates are electoral laws, enacted to ensure the citizens’ right to political participation. According to this model, the citizens’ equal right to vote and right to stand for elections require transparency, equality and the members’ involvement in the selection of candidates. In such cases, the electoral law imposes requirements concerning the nominating bodies and the nomination procedure. Among those countries that have regulated these issues, there are two main elements of “substantive” intra-party democracy, which can be identified: - There is a growing number of countries that have included gender quotas in their legislation. Quotas within candidates’ lists are preferred, as opposed to reserved seats in constituencies. - As to the rules on the representation of minorities, ethnic and vulnerable groups, there seems to be an opposite trend: there are reserved seats or special constituencies, resulting in “guaranteed mandates” as a way of ensuring such groups’ representation. 80. Many European countries have taken steps in recent times, often through legislation, to ensure the participation of women and minorities, ethnic and vulnerable groups. In Latin America, such rules have existed since the 1990s. Although the so called “legislated quotas”, which mainly concern the representation of women, have been preferred in recent laws, many countries place trust in the so-called “voluntary quotas” stated by political parties in their statutes. The practice in the field of gender quotas differs from country to country: the required minimum percentage of each sex among the candidatures is different, the use of ranking orders, the sanction system, etc. Furthermore, the variations in interplay between the quotaregulation and other features of the electoral system, as well as the relevance for women’s representation of these other features as such, has a key impact on the outcome. The possibility of adopting legal measures to foster respect for democratic principles in the selection of candidates is consistent with international standards and principles stated by the Venice Commission. However, legal intervention in the selection of candidates is not always required or suitable. On the one hand, long-established democracies with deep-rooted political parties favour associational freedom, since internal democracy is guaranteed by the political parties themselves. On the other hand, state interference in the selection of candidates in new or

transitional democracies might jeopardise political pluralism. There is an increased risk where legal intervention constitutes an imposition of the majority over the minority. It is for each country to choose between a liberal view, which favours the freedom of political parties and the absence of legislation concerning their internal affairs (including the nomination of their candidates), and the view which seeks to strengthen internal democracy in the selection of candidates through legislation. Many states have also elements of both models. Other factors will influence the outcome, mainly the democratic tradition and the electoral system. Nevertheless, the European and Latin American experiences show that, if legislative intervention is deemed necessary, some conditions should be taken into account: a) The requirements imposed on political parties for selecting candidates must be coherent with the electoral system. b) The fulfilment of the exigencies imposed by law must be effectively supervised by independent bodies, such as courts or electoral commissions, ensuring the existence of effective remedies available to protect the freedom of association of political parties and political rights of individuals. c) The law must respect the proportionality principle, establishing means that are necessary to increase democracy and the least burdensome to political parties' freedom. d) The legal requirements concerning the selection of candidates can affect the core of political parties in one of their most relevant decisions. For this reason, it is important that there should be a consensus on their necessity and content.

REFERENCES

1. Butt, Muqaddas. & Kausar, Saira. (2010). A Comparative Study of Using Differentiated Instructions of Public and Private School Teachers. *Malaysian Journal of Distance Education*, 12(1), 105-124.
2. Chapman, C., & King, R. (2003). *Differentiated instructional strategies for reading in the content areas*, Thousand Oaks, CA: Corwin Press.
3. Chapman, C., & King, R. (2005). 11 Practical Ways to Guide Teachers toward Differentiation (And an Evaluation Tool). *Journal of Staff Development*, 26(4), 20-25.
4. Charles Sr., L. F. & Luard, M. L. (2018). Middle School Teachers' Perception of Differentiated Instruction on Lower Third Student Achievement. *Teacher Education and Curriculum Studies*, 3(3), 20-33.
5. Chiu, M. M., & Chow, B. W. Y. 2015. Classmate Characteristics and Student Achievement in 33 Countries: Classmates' Past Achievement, Family Socioeconomic Status, Educational Resources, and Attitudes toward Reading. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 107(1), 152-169.
6. Creswell, J.W. (2012). *Educational Research: Planning, Conducting and Evaluating Quantitative and Qualitative Research*. Boston: PEARSON.
7. Crystal, D. (2003). *English as a global language*, 2nd ed., Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
8. Saidrahimova, D.S. Grammatical categories of adjective, adverb, pronoun and number in English, Russian and Kyrgyz languages // *Journal of Positive School Psychology* <http://journalppw.com> 2022, Vol. 6, No. 10, 2443-2447 (SCOPUS). <https://journalppw.com/index.php/jpsp/article/view/13686/8854>
9. Saidrahimova, D.S. Адвербиалдык лексемалар – өзбек тил илиминде // *Актуальные научные исследования в современном мире*. – [Переяслав], 2021. – № 11-7 (79). –С. 317-327. http://elibrary.ru/title_about.asp?id=58411
10. Saidrahimova, D.S. Кыргызча-өзбекче адвербиалдык лексемалардын шайкештиктери жана бөтөнчөлүктөрү // *Актуальные научные исследования в современном мире*. – [Переяслав], 2021. –№ 11-7 (79). –С. 328-333 <https://www.elibrary.ru/item.asp?id=47353718>

11. Saidrahimova, D.S Татаал тактоочтордун тектеш тилдердеги экспоненттери // НАУКА. Новые технологии и инновации Кыргызстана. 2022. –№ 2. С. <https://elibrary.ru/item.asp?id=49605837>
12. Sayidrahimova, N. S., & Kabulova, U. S. (2020). General Theoretical Aspects In Synchronical Typological Learning Of English Adverbs (Adverb Goals). Published in International Journal of Research Available at <https://edupediapublications.org/journals>. Available online: <http://edupediapublications.org/journals/index.php/IJR/P.-Indiya>, 384-398.
13. Kabulova, U.S. (2023). “Filologiya” termini haqida. Scienceweb academic papers collection.
14. Sayidmaxamadovna, K.U., & Isakovna, B.R. (2023). “Paremiya” haqida. Finland International Scientific Journal of Education, Social Science & Humanities, 11(2), 773-777.
15. Sayidmaxamadovna, K.U., & Muratovna, K.Z. (2023). “Frazelogizm” atamasi haqida. Finland International Scientific Journal of Education, Social Science & Humanities, 11(2), 711-715.
16. Muratovna, K.Z., & Sayidmaxamadovna, K.U. (2023). Tilshunoslarning “Frazelogik birlik” atamasi haqidagi qarashlari. Finland International Scientific Journal of Education, Social Science & Humanities, 11(2), 768-772.
17. Sayidmahamadovna, S. N., Saidmakhamadovna, K.U., Komiljonovna, K.G., Azimjanovna, T.O., & Ganiyevna, M.D. (2020). Ambiguities in uzbek language-syntactic homonyms. PalArch's Journal of Archaeology of Egypt/Egyptology, 17(7), 6542-6548.
18. Kabulova Umida Sayidmakhamadovna. Ta’limni insonparvarlashtirish to’g’risida// JOURNAL OF LANGUAGE AND LINGUISTICS ISSN (Online): 2984-7109 SJIF Impact Factor |(2023): 5.2| <https://sirpublishers.org/index.php/jolal/article/view/84>.
19. Kabilova Zebiniso Muratovna. ENKLITIKA. FONOLOGIZATSIYA. EMFATIK URG‘U. // JOURNAL OF LANGUAGE AND LINGUISTICS ISSN(Online): 2984-7109 SJIF Impact Factor |(2023): 5.2| <https://sirpublishers.org/index.php/jolal/article/view/84>.