

## TYOLOGY OF PERSON NOMINATION

*Kokchoeva S.,  
PhD in Philology, Associate Professor of the  
Kyrgyz-Uzbek University Osh, Kyrgyzstan  
Kabilova Z. ADCHTI  
Umumiy va qiyosiy tilshunoslik kafedrasi mudiri*

**Abstract:** This article contributes to exploring, from a general perspective, the various methods of nomination of candidates within political parties, taking into account the balance between, on the one hand, the scope of autonomy and self-governance granted to political parties under the principle of freedom of association and, on the other hand, the degree of external constraints and regulations in their internal work.

**Keywords:** difference, democratic, political, transitional, paradigm, proliferation, reference, dominant paradigm, proliferation, increased, resolution.

**Annotatsiya:** Ushbu maqola siyosiy partiyalar ichida nomzodlarni ko'rsatishning turli usullarini umumiy nuqtayi nazardan o'rganishga yordam beradi, boshqaruvi siyosiy partiyalarga berilgan birlashma erkinligi prinsipi va boshqa tomondan, ularning ichki faoliyatidagi tashqi cheklovlar va qoidalar darajasi.

**Kalit so'zlar:** farq, demokratik, siyosiy, o'tish davri, paradigma, proliferatsiya, mos yozuvlar, dominant paradigma, proliferatsiya, o'sish, rezolyutsiya.

Nomination of candidates within political parties: the legal requirements Understanding the difference between the liberal model and other models based on a substantive notion of democracy can serve to better grasp the way in which each democratic system addresses political parties in general. However, over the years, many of the differences between the various patterns described above have diminished and most states have chosen a mixed approach, in which there are elements from both views.

During the last decade, many countries have evolved from a liberal point of view towards increased regulation of political parties. The principle of non-intervention that prevailed across the European continent from the very emergence of political parties seems no longer to be the dominant paradigm. Moreover, in new or transitional democracies there are frequent constitutional references to respect for democratic principles. Indeed, not only has the reference to specific rules for political parties increased, but Europe is also witnessing a proliferation of specific laws exclusively dealing with political parties. This is also the case in Latin American 2013, the majority of European countries had adopted a law specifically devoted to political parties.

Although these laws refer to different matters, such as political parties' registration or finances, some of them also include provisions on internal democracy, with regulations concerning elections of party bodies, their accountability and the resolution of party conflicts. Therefore, it seems to be a growing trend in further regulating the methods for choosing candidates within political parties, as well as for regulating their rights. There are three main categories of countries: those with specific legislation on political parties, but no specific provisions on intraparty democracy; those with specific legislation on political parties and a focus on intraparty democracy, although the degree of detail may vary considerably from one case to another; and those which lack specific regulation on political parties and generally apply legislation on associations.

The Venice Commission Guidelines on Political Party Regulation state that: “Parties must have the ability to determine party officers and candidates, free from government interference.

Recognising that candidate selection and determination of ranking order on electoral lists is often dominated by closed entities and old networks of established politicians, clear and transparent criteria for candidate selection is needed, in order for new members (including women, and minorities) to get access to decisionmaking positions. Gender-balanced composition of selecting bodies should also be commended”.

Many national laws follow these principles and apply the basic rules of the democratic principle to the internal structure of political parties. Among the countries studied, one of the most recent laws adopted in this field was the Italian Decree-Law of 28 December 2013. This is the first general norm that regulates political parties in Italy. However, the new Decree-Law does not impose internal democracy and transparency on all political parties, but only on those parties that run for European, national and regional elections. Therefore, the Law aims to establish a link between the rights and duties recognised in the new regulation and the effective representation of political parties. The requirements for candidate nomination are, in most cases, not specifically stated in the laws on political parties. However, they can be deduced from the general rules stated by the legislation on party organisation and proceedings and from the principles that the constitution proclaims, such as the principle of internal democracy, non-discrimination and the recognition of universal suffrage. In other cases, the requirements are stated in the electoral law, as is the case for the Federal Elections Act of the German Republic (FEAG), Article 21 of which establishes detailed provisions for the election of the members of the Bundestag. 27. A few laws establish specific rules on the nomination of candidates within political parties. For example, Article 17 of the German Law on Political Parties of 24 July 1967<sup>20</sup> and Article 33 of Portuguese Organic Law 2/2003 on Political Parties.

In Latin America, many of the states analysed have specific rules in their legislation on the nomination of candidates within political parties, such as Argentina, Bolivia, Costa Rica, Chile, Mexico, Paraguay, Peru, Uruguay or Venezuela. The lack of strong democratic intra-party structures seems to have fostered the adoption of detailed legislation as a way to strengthen internal democracy inside political parties, among other factors. For example, Article 95.8 of the Costa Rica Constitution states that the law must establish guarantees for the designation of public authorities and candidates of political parties, according to democratic principles and without gender discrimination.

There are three more specific issues that should be assessed that are central to candidate nomination: the body that should nominate the candidates (section A); the procedure to be followed when making the decision (section B), and the rights of party members during the selection (section C). A. Requirements concerning the nominating bodies Some laws state that the main decisions regarding the party must be made by its general assembly (composed of all the members of the party), or by an assembly composed of delegates. That is the case, for example, in Article 7.2 of Spanish Organic Law 6/2002, 22 which establishes that political parties must have a general assembly composed of all their members, or of their delegates. This assembly can act directly or through delegates and must make the main decisions of the party according to the procedures established in the parties' statutes.

Article 21 of the German Electoral Law is more precise, given that it specifically regulates the selection of party candidates. Its first paragraph allows the direct election of candidates by all the members of the party, or the indirect election by member representatives. This Article states that “A person may only be named as a candidate of a party in a constituency nomination if he or she is not a member of another party and has been elected for this purpose at a members' assembly convened to elect a constituency candidate or at a special or general delegates' assembly”.

The same Article establishes that the assembly of members can only be composed of members of the party who, at the time of their meeting, are eligible to vote in the German Bundestag elections in their constituency. Therefore, primary elections are not allowed. Furthermore, the Electoral Law recognises that the executive committee of the level of the party

involved in the selection has the right to veto the decision on candidates. In this case, the election must be repeated Article 112 of the Election Act of Finland is more demanding, since it requires the selection of candidates to be done by members of the party.

However, a vote by the members is not obligatory if only so many candidates are nominated in the election as the party has the right to nominate in the electoral district. Furthermore, Article 113 of the same Law refers the regulation of the nomination process to the inner rules of the party. Only if there is no provision on voting by members or nominating candidates in the statutes of the party will these provisions set forth in the Act apply. Constitutions in Latin American countries often contain requirements for candidates' nomination. In Costa Rica, for example, the

Constitution states that the appointment of officials and candidates of political parties must respect the principles of democracy without discrimination on grounds of sex (Article 95. 8). In Paraguay, the Constitution contains requirements for candidates to run for office as President, Vice-President, Senator, Congressman, Governor, etc. as well as the rules on possible incompatibilities preventing the exercise of such a function. The Electoral Code of Paraguay establishes mandatory elections to nominate candidates within political parties, the rules to be observed during the internal electoral process and deadlines, the procedure to challenge the nominations and the rules governing the replacement of candidates. In Mexico, the Constitution states that “The law will establish the requirements and ways to organise the selection and nomination of candidates to public office by popular vote, as well as the rules for precampaigns and campaigns”.

#### BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. Freidenvall, L, Dahlerup, D., “Electoral Gender Quota Systems and their implementation in Europe, Update 2013” cit. -p. 38.
2. Art 9.1 of Law n° 88-227 of 11 March 1988 on Financial Transparency of Political Life. More information on the issue in <http://www.quotaproject.org/uid/countryview.cfm?country=53> 54 Art 47.4
3. General Law of Institutions and Electoral Proceedings, Articles 232, 234.
4. Electoral Code, Article 37. 57 Electoral Law,
5. Article 116 and Law No. 28094 regulating Political Parties, Article 26 58 Electoral Code, Article 32. 59 Article 2 of Law No. 18.476.
5. Constitution Amendment Decree August 14th 2001, Article third. The Electoral Tribunal of Mexico has issued a decision on 8 April 2015 requesting political parties to respect the constitutional principles, mainly adopting a material approach to equality and requiring the inclusion of indigenous candidates in the lists (SUP-JDC824/2015).
6. Saidrahimova, D.S. Methodological Foundations of the Problem of Parts of Speech in Linguistics // Psychology and Education . –VOL. 58 NO. 2 2021.–C.319-323.(SCOPUS). <http://psychologyandeducation.net/pae/index.php/pae/article/view/1763/1528>
7. Saidrahimova, D.S Grammatical categories of adjective, adverb, pronoun and number in English, Russian and Kyrgyz languages //Journal of Positive School Psychology <http://journalppw.com2022>, Vol. 6, No. 10, 2443-2447(SCOPUS). <https://journalppw.com/index.php/jpsp/article/view/13686/8854>
8. Saidrahimova, D.S Адвербиалдык лексемалар – өзбек тил илиминде // Актуальные научные исследования в современном мире. – [Переяслав], 2021. – № 11-7 (79). –С. 317-327. [http://elibrary.ru/title\\_about.asp?id=58411](http://elibrary.ru/title_about.asp?id=58411)
9. Saidrahimova, D.S Кыргызча-өзбекче адвербиалдык лексемалардын шайкештиктери жана бөтөнчөлүктөрү // Актуальные научные исследования в современном мире. – [Переяслав], 2021. –№ 11-7 (79). –С. 328-333 <https://www.elibrary.ru/item.asp?id=47353718>

10. Saidrahimova, D.S. Татаал тактоочтордун тектеш тилдердеги экспоненттери // НАУКА. Новые технологии и инновации Кыргызстана. 2022. –№ 2. С. <https://elibrary.ru/item.asp?id=49605837>
11. Sayidirahimova, N.S., & Kabulova, U. S. (2020). General Theoretical Aspects In Synchronical Typological Learning Of English Adverbs (Adverb Goals). Published in International Journal of Research Available at <https://edupediapublications.org/journals>. Available online: <http://edupediapublications.org/journals/index.php/IJR/P>. – Indiya, 384-398.
12. Kabulova, U.S. (2023). “FILOLOGIYA” TERMINI HAQIDA. Scienceweb academic papers collection.
13. Sayidmaxamadovna, K.U., & Isakovna, B.R. (2023). “PAREMIYA” HAQIDA. Finland International Scientific Journal of Education, Social Science & Humanities, 11(2), 773-777.
14. Sayidmaxamadovna, K.U., & Muratovna, K.Z. (2023). “FRAZEOLOGIZM” ATAMASI HAQIDA. Finland International Scientific Journal of Education, Social Science & Humanities, 11(2), 711-715.
15. Muratovna, K. Z., & Sayidmaxamadovna, K. U. (2023). TILSHUNOSLARNING “FRAZEOLOGIK BIRLIK” ATAMASI HAQIDAGI QARASHLARI. Finland International Scientific Journal of Education, Social Science & Humanities, 11(2), 768-772.
16. Sayidmahamadovna, S. N., Saidmakhamadovna, K.U., Komiljonovna, K.G., Azimjanovna, T.O., & Ganiyevna, M.D. (2020). AMBIGUITIES IN UZBEK LANGUAGE-SYNTACTIC HOMONYMS. PalArch's Journal of Archaeology of Egypt/Egyptology, 17(7), 6542-6548.
17. Kabulova Umida Sayidmakhamadovna. TA’LIMNI INSONPARVARLASHTIRISH TO’G’RISIDA// JOURNAL OF LANGUAGE AND LINGUISTICS ISSN (Online): 2984-7109 SJIF Impact Factor |(2023): 5.2| <https://sirpublishers.org/index.php/jolal/article/view/84>.
18. Kabilova Zebiniso Muratovna. Enklitika. Fonologizatsiya. Emfatik urg‘u. // journal of language and linguistics ISSN (Online): 2984-7109 SJIF Impact Factor |(2023): 5.2| <https://sirpublishers.org/index.php/jolal/article/view/84>.