



Universal Xalqaro Ilmiy Jurnal

Jurnalning bosh sahifasi: <https://universaljurnal.uz>

Universal International Scientific Journal

e-ISSN: [3060-4540 \(online\)](https://doi.org/10.30604/2024.1.5)

Year: 2024 Issue: 1 Volume: 5

Published: 10.08.2024 <https://universaljurnal.uz>

International indexes

GOOGLE SCHOLAR
CROSSREF (OAK BAZA)
ZENODO
OPEN AIRE
EUROPUB
RESEARCHGATE (OAK BAZA)
SJIF



Nasrullayev Bexruz

School of Computer and Information Engineering
Inha University in Tashkent
Uzbekistan

bexruznasrullaev7787@gmail.com
<https://orcid.org/0009-0005-5073-1877>

COMPUTER SCIENCE SCIENTIFIC APPROACHES

Abstract: This paper explores the scientific aspects of computer science. It begins by defining science and the scientific method, then delves into the connections between science, research, development, and technology.

Physics is often considered the gold standard in scientific theory (as noted by Popper, Carnap, Kuhn, and Chalmers), though few sciences reach that level of precision. When it comes to analyzing computer science, the current theories in the philosophy of science aren't particularly useful.

In computer science, a relatively new field, the focus of study is the computer—an ever-changing artifact that embodies ideas aimed at organizing knowledge and information about the world, including computers themselves.

Despite their distinctions, logic and mathematics form the core foundation of computer science.

Аннотация: В этой статье рассматриваются научные аспекты компьютерной науки. Она начинается с определения науки и научного метода, а затем углубляется в связи между наукой, исследованиями, разработками и технологиями.

Физика часто считается золотым стандартом в научной теории (как отмечали Поппер, Карнап, Кун и Чалмерс), хотя немногие науки достигают такого уровня точности. Когда дело доходит до анализа компьютерной науки, современные теории в философии науки не особенно полезны.

В компьютерной науке, относительно новой области, фокусом изучения является компьютер — постоянно меняющийся артефакт, воплощающий идеи, направленные на организацию знаний и информации о мире, включая сами компьютеры.

Несмотря на свои различия, логика и математика образуют основу компьютерной науки.

Annotatsiya: Ushbu maqola kompyuter fanining ilmiy jihatlarini o'rganadi. U fan va ilmiy uslubning ta'rifi bilan boshlanadi, so'ngra fan, tadqiqot va ishlanmalar va texnologiya o'rtasidagi aloqalarga kiradi.

Fizika ko'pincha ilmiy nazariyaning oltin standarti hisoblanadi (Popper, Karnap, Kuhn va Chalmers ta'kidlaganidek), ammo bir nechta fanlar bunday aniqlik darajasiga erishadilar. Kompyuter fanini tahlil qilish haqida gap ketganda, fan falsafasidagi hozirgi nazariyalar ayniqsa foydali emas.

Nisbatan yangi soha bo'lgan informatika fanida o'rganish predmeti kompyuter bo'lib, doimiy o'zgarib turadigan artefakt bo'lib, u dunyo haqidagi bilim va ma'lumotlarni, shu jumladan, kompyuterlarning o'zi ham tartibga solishga qaratilgan g'oyalarni o'zida mujassam etgan.

Turli xilligiga qaramay, mantiq va matematika informatika fanining asosini tashkil qiladi.

Keywords: Scientific technique, theory of science, and computer science.

Ключевые слова: Научная техника, теория науки и информатика.

Kalit so'zlar: Ilmiy texnika, fan nazariyasi va informatika.

Language: English

Citation: Nasrullayev , B. BELONGING THROUGH LINGUISTIC PLACE-MAKING IN CENTER-PERIPHERY CONSTELLATIONS . Universal International Scientific Journal, 17–26. Retrieved from <https://universaljurnal.uz/index.php/jurnal/article/view/1085>

Doi: <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.13369213>

1. INTRODUCTION

Contrary to what the name might imply, computer science is not always clearly considered to be a "science." Being a relatively new field, computer science (CS) must be considered significantly different from "classic" sciences like physics, mathematics, and other sciences that have their roots in Greek philosophy.

Since computer science (CS) emerged in the contemporary era (the first electronic digital computer was constructed in the 1940s), it is inevitable that other sciences have existed in the background.

Numerous academic fields provide the foundation for computer science. As a result, learning computer science necessitates applying ideas from other disciplines. Theory and practice, general and specific abstraction and design are integrated in computer science.

A large number of sciences have emerged over the course of history, primarily due to the need for a more comprehensive understanding of our world, which is currently heavily dominated by reductionism, as well as the convenience and effectiveness of communication tools.

2. WHAT IS SCIENCE

The whole is more than the sum of its parts.
Aristotle, *Metaphysica*

2.1 DEFINITION OF SCIENCE

When we refer to "science," we really mean a variety of distinct fields. There are significant differences between the many sciences.

Thus, it is impossible to define science in a straightforward or unambiguous way. For a number of potential classifications, see [1] and [2]. For example, linguistics and history are sometimes, though not always, listed as sciences.


It is clear from the above figure's selected scheme that the sciences have certain domains of applicability. A significant component of all other sciences is logic and mathematics, which are the most exact and abstract sciences respectively. They are quite vital for Physics, less so for Chemistry and Biology, and as we move towards the outer reaches of our scheme, their importance diminishes even more.

Of course, logic is the foundation of all human knowledge and is found in philosophy as well as all branches of science.

One may compare the structure of Figure 1 to seeing through a microscope. We can access the innermost region by using the maximum resolution. Logic is employed as a tool for more than just drawing conclusions in the central region. In addition, it is the subject of the inquiry. Although there are many areas of mathematics that can be reduced to logic (Frege, Russell, and Whitehead), this reduction cannot be accomplished entirely.

The inner regions are listed as prerequisites for the outer ones at each stage of zooming out. Without challenging their fundamental principles, physics is the application of logic and mathematics as instruments. In this approach, knowledge about the underlying logic and mathematics is concealed from view. Similar to how Chemistry is a prerequisite for Physics, Physics is a hidden level within Biology, etc.

Figure 1's principal purpose is to schematically depict the relationships between the three major scientific disciplines—Mathematics, Natural Sciences, and Social Sciences—as well as the contributions to thought systems that the



Humanities represent.

In the end, the cultural environment permeates and absorbs all of human knowledge, whether scientific and speculative.

Table 1 Sciences, items and techniques

SCIENCE	OBJECTS	DOMINATING METHOD
	Simple	Reductionism (analysis)
Logic & Mathematics	Abstract objects: propositions, numbers, ...	Deduction
Natural Sciences	Natural objects: physical bodies, fields and interactions, living organisms ...	Hypothetico-deductive method
Social Sciences	Social objects: human individuals, groups, society, ...	Hypothetico-deductive method + Hermeneutics
Humanities	Cultural objects: human ideas, actions and relationships, language, artifacts...	Hermeneutics
	Complex	Holism (synthesis)

Logic and mathematics, the inner sciences, are the most fundamental and have the highest level of certainty. They have the simplest and most abstract investigation objects. They speak in the most official manner. They mostly rely on the inductive approach. It is crucial to note, therefore, that the fundamental concepts of both logic and mathematics have been taken from the language of everyday life and refined into a set of precise formulas and symbolic expressions through a fundamentally inductive process.

Unlike the preceding zone, the Natural Sciences region does not have an axiomatised theory. For many philosophers of science (Popper, Carnap, Kuhn, Chalmers, et al., 2004), physics is the ideal of science. It consists of both theoretical and empirical components, such as shorthand expressions for observed facts that are incorporated into the system as they prove useful, as well as pure mathematical formulas derived from "first principles." Not all theoretical physics is amenable to axiomatisation. For rather apparent reasons, experimental physics is even less so.

Popper refers to the dominant approach in the natural sciences as the "hypothetico-deductive method."

Sociology, anthropology, education, economics, and pedagogy are examples of social sciences. Humans are the examined object; they might be studied individually or in groups. Qualitative approaches are the mainstay of the social sciences. The goal is to characterise and comprehend phenomena (in the hermeneutic sense).

Figure 1 What is science?

Their methodology's quantitative elements are connected to statistical techniques.

The Liberal Arts (Humanities) comprise disciplines such as philosophy, history, linguistics, and others. Although there isn't much of a distinction between the humanities and social sciences, we may state that the former mostly take a qualitative approach and infrequently use statistical approaches.

A dynamic pattern seen at a particular moment is depicted in Figure 1. A equivalent model for the sciences of the Middle Ages, for instance, would change greatly. Or, to put it another way, culture is the flow that all sciences adhere to. That flow modifies the basis for all the sciences steadily, albeit very slowly.

2.1 SCIENCES ASSOCIATED WITH MULTIPLE FIELDS

As human civilisation and thought have developed simultaneously, new sciences have emerged that do not fit neatly into any of the previously stated classic categories (see Figure 1), but rather have elements in common with several of these.

An eclectic, multidisciplinary approach characterises many of the modern sciences. New sciences are increasingly searching quite wide for their questions and even their methodologies. It can be explained by the fact that communication between many scientific domains is now easier and more intense than it was in the past

For instance, computer science encompasses the study of artificial intelligence, which has its foundations in mathematics and logic but also makes extensive use of physics, chemistry, biology, and even psychology and medicine.

It appears like a fascinating paradigm shift is taking place:

We should, by the way, be prepared for some radical, and perhaps surprising, transformations of the disciplinary structure of science (technology included) as information processing pervades it. In particular, as we become more aware of the detailed information processes that go on in doing science, the sciences will find themselves increasingly taking a meta-position, in which doing science (observing, experimenting, theorizing, testing, archiving,) will involve

understanding these information processes, and building systems that do the object-level science. Then the boundaries between the enterprise of science as a whole (the acquisition and organization of knowledge of the world) and AI (the understanding of how knowledge is acquired and organized) will become increasingly fuzzy.

Allen Newell, *Artif. Intell.* 25 (1985) 3.

This holds the potential to become the new synthetic (holistic) worldview of the future.

3. THE TECHNICAL METHOD




Figure 2 Diagram showing the hypothesis-deductive, iterative character of the scientific method

The logical framework that scientists employ to find solutions to the problems posed by science is known as the scientific method. Scientific theories are created by the scientific process, which also produces scientific meta-theories, or theories about theories, as well as the theories that are used to create the instruments, algorithms, and other tools that are used to construct theories. This is how the basic version appears (also refer to Figure 2):

First: Frame the inquiry in terms of the body of information that already exists (theories and observations). Either a novel question that can be answered by existing theories (which is typically the case) or a question that necessitates the development of a new theory can be addressed.

Second: Create a hypothesis as a heuristic for your response.

Third: Draw conclusions and formulate forecasts.

Fourth: Test the theory in a particular area of study or thought. The new theory needs to demonstrate that it is consistent with the current worldview, or what Kuhn refers to as "normal science."

The hypothesis needs to be tested more carefully if it produces inconsistencies and calls for a significant modification of the current theoretical framework. For the new hypothesis to displace the current scientific paradigm, it must be productive and provide significant benefits. Kuhn refers to this as a "scientific revolution," yet it hardly ever occurs. Generally speaking, loop 2-3-4 is repeated with the hypothesis modified until agreement is reached, which results in 5. If significant differences are discovered, the procedure must be restarted from step one.

Fifth: The hypothesis becomes a theory and offers a logical collection of propositions that define a new class of events or theoretical notion if consistency is attained. The findings must be made public. At that point, theory is the product of a process known as "natural selection" between opposing theories (6). The next step is for a theory to develop into a framework for explaining observations and theoretical facts and formulating predictions. Although the procedure can begin at any point, state 1 has been modified to incorporate the new theory or enhanced old theory.

The logical framework of the scientific approach used to build new hypotheses is very broadly described in Figure 2. The flow diagram illustrates how science is always evolving and changing.

One of the most significant aspects of science is its provisional nature, which allows for ongoing review and self-correction.

Realising that scientific logic is recursive is essential. Every observation, experiment, and theoretical test are preceded by a hypothesis (2) that derives from the body of prior information (1). Every observational or experimental finding comes with an underlying worldview. Alternatively, all experimental evidence is "theory-contaminated," as Feyerabend [8] put it.

It's also noteworthy to note that creating new experimental tools or protocols follows the same general framework:

(1) Begin with the theoretical/experimental framework that already exists; (2) State the issue;

(3) Deduce implications; (4) Verify that it functions as anticipated; and (5) Acknowledge.

Undoubtedly, Figure 2's representation of the scientific method is an abstraction and simplification. There is no such thing as "the scientific method," according to those who oppose the hypothetico-deductive approach.

"By the term "the scientific method" they actually mean the concrete set of rules defining how to proceed in posing new relevant questions and formulating successful hypotheses."

The scientific method's main benefit is that it is incomplete.¹ One can choose not to believe a particular researcher.

Here, "impartial" refers to being impartial, unbiased, dispassionate, and objective. But take note that this is a remark about science itself, not about specific scientists who, for the most part, have a passionate approach to their work. Because science is a common endeavour among all members of the scientific community, the outcomes are largely unbiased. However, there used to be shared paradigms across all members of the scientific community. These are extremely broad ideas that are ingrained in society. A process known as a paradigm shift takes place in repeat the experiment (in theory) to ascertain the validity of certain findings. The findings will remain valid regardless of the researcher's mental condition, religious beliefs, or level of consciousness. The essential characteristics of science—universality and openness—have a direct bearing on the impartiality debate.

First and foremost, a theory is adopted based on the outcomes of logical reasoning, observations, and/or experiments. Reproducible outcomes are a requirement of the scientific method. The reasons behind these disparities are further investigated if the initial assertions are not confirmed.

Every scientific truth is subject to change. However, a hypothesis must get the support of the scientific community in order to become a theory. The corpus of scientific knowledge can be made up of a variety of different ideas in domains where there are no widely accepted theories (such as the explanation of the universe's formation, while the "big bang" theory is the most widely accepted).

4. SCIENCE, RESEARCH, TECHNOLOGY (Aristotle's Science contra Technology)

Aristotle made some important distinctions in his well-known thoughts on science and technology, which are still widely cited and even used to evaluate science and technology today.

Table 2 Standard distinctions: science vs. technology

	Science	Technology
Object	unchangeable	changeable
Principle of motion	inside	outside
End	knowing the general	knowing the concrete
Activity	<u>theoria</u> : end in itself	<u>poiesis</u> : end in something else
Method	abstraction	<u>modeling</u> concrete (complex)
Process	conceptualizing	optimizing
Innovation form	discovery	invention
Type of result	law-like statements	rule-like statements
Time perspective	long-term	short-term

4.1 Contemporary Science vs Technology

However, it appears that when applied to modern science, the traditional crisp binary divisions between science and technology fall short because the fundamental ideas of science are antiquated. As Figure 3 illustrates, modern relations are far more complicated and varied than those of Aristotle's day. This is a fact that many contemporary philosophers find hard to acknowledge.

Because of this, a deeper, more grounded grasp of modern sciences is needed for philosophy of science. The moment is ripe for a paradigm shift in scientific thought!

5. WHAT IS COMPUTER SCIENCE?

Naturally, it is impossible to define computer science

in a clear and concise way. Let me mention some of existing ones:

1. Computer Science is the study of phenomena related to computers, Newell, Perlis and Simon, 1967

2. The discipline of computing is the systematic study of algorithmic processes that describe and transform information: their theory, analysis, design, efficiency, implementation, and application [3], 2001. (Compare to: Computer Science is the study of algorithms, Knuth, 1968)

3. Computer Science is the study of information structures Wegner, 1968, Curriculum 68

4. Computer Science is the study and management of complexity, Dijkstra, 1969. [9]

5. Computer Science is the mechanization of abstraction, Aho and Ullman 1992 [10]

The first definition, which states that computer science is concerned with the study of a class of phenomena, represents an empirical tradition. As algorithms and information structures are two abstractions from computer science phenomena, the second and third definitions exhibit a mathematical tradition.

Wegner employed the third concept as the unifying abstraction in his book on machine organisation, information structures, and programming languages. Information theory serves as the historical foundation for this perspective on computer science. It had a significant impact on Curriculum 68's creation, a document that has been crucial to the development of undergraduate computer science curriculum. In German and French, the phrases "Informatik" and "Informatique" respectively imply

It's important to observe that the equivalent names in German and French have an abstract focus, but the British term "computer science" has an empirical direction. The idea that continental abstraction and British empiricism from the nineteenth century have endured seems to be supported by this discrepancy in terminology.

Both scientifically and sociologically, the assumption that information is the fundamental concept of computer science is provocative. From a scientific standpoint,

It presents an understanding of computer science as an extension of information theory, which addresses information transmission as well as its interpretation and change. From a sociological perspective, it draws a comparison between the industrial revolution, which focused on the use of energy, and the computer revolution, which dealt with the use of information.

The fourth term captures the extreme intricacy of engineering issues that arise when overseeing the development of intricate software-hardware systems.

According to [9], paradigms focused on empirical research dominated computer science in

the 1950s, followed by paradigms focused on mathematics research in the 1960s, and engineering-oriented paradigms starting in the 1970s.

Divergences in perception on the nature of computer science research may be caused by the range of research paradigms in the field.

All computing is fundamentally driven by the question, "What can be (efficiently) automated?" [3]

Theoretical and practical disciplines in the creation and application of computers for information processing and storage, mathematics, logic, science, and many other fields are included in the study of computer science.

The combination of mathematical logic, algorithm theory, and electrical computing gave rise to the field in the 1940s.

Logic is significant not only because it underpins all programming languages and explores the boundaries of automated computation, but also because it recognises that sequences of symbols, which are also represented by numbers, can be understood as both data and programs.




Figure 3 The connections between science, technology, research, and development

Sub-areas of computing according to [3]:

1. Discrete Structures
2. Programming Fundamentals
3. Algorithms and Complexity
4. Programming Languages
5. Architecture and Organization
6. Operating Systems
7. Net-Centric Computing
8. Human-Computer Interaction
9. Graphics and Visual Computing
10. Intelligent Systems
11. Information Management
12. Software Engineering
13. Social and Professional Issues

14. Computational Science and Numerical Methods

According to Dijkstra, referring to the area as "Computer Science" is akin to referring to surgery as "Knife Science." He pointed out that there is constant pressure on computer science departments to emphasise "Computer" more than "Science." This tendency is similar to the propensity to see computers' significance only in terms of their use. Computer science encompasses more than just software, technology, and computer use. It is a science that incorporates engineering as well as abstract mathematical reasoning. The engineering component requires expertise in the design of intricate software systems, whereas the mathematics component is expressed in the solution of issues or the demonstration that none exist.

6. SCIENTIFIC METHODS OF CS

Insofar as computer science is concerned, it essentially possesses every aspect of traditional scientific methodology. Here, too, our Figure 2 scheme is relevant.

What makes computer science unique is that its research object is an artefact, or computer, which undergoes changes in tandem with the advancement of theories explaining it and the expansion of real-world application experience. A computer in the 1940s is not the same as one in the 1970s, which is not the same as one in 2002. It is far from easy to define what the computing year 2002 is.

6.1 COMPUTER SCIENCE THEORY

We can draw the conclusion that theoretical computer science, which upholds the traditions of logic and mathematics, follows the very traditional methodology of developing theories as logical systems with strict definitions of objects (axioms) and operations (rules) for the purpose of obtaining and demonstrating theorems.

The main ideas that keep coming up in computers are [10]:

- 1 - Formal and conceptual models
- 2 - Abstractional levels
- 3 - Efficiency

Various mathematical notions are formulated using data models [10]. A data model in computer science comprises two elements: the values that data objects may take on and the data operations. Typical data models include the following:

The list data model, which is essentially a particular form of the tree data model with push and pop operations added, is an abstraction that represents hierarchical data structures. Character strings are a significant category of lists.

The simplest basic data model in mathematics is called the set data model. All mathematical concepts, including trees and real numbers, can be described as a particular type of set.

The data is arranged using the relational data model, which creates collections of two-dimensional tables.

The directed, undirected, and labelled graph data model is an extension of the tree data model.

Iteration, induction, and recursion are a few of the fundamental methodological concepts in theoretical computer science that were carried over from mathematics.

Repetition. Using an iterative construct like a for- or while- statement is the easiest approach to carry out a series of actions repeatedly.

Return. Recursive processes make direct or indirect calls to themselves. This is the definition of an idea in terms of itself; it is known as self-definition. For example, a list can be defined as an element that is followed by a list or as an empty list. When self-definition is applied correctly, there is never any circularity since the self-defined subparts are always "smaller" than the object being defined. Next, following a limited sequence of stages, we reach the foundation scenario where the self-definition

Introduction. Basis and inductive step are used in inductive definitions and proofs to cover all potential scenarios.

To put it briefly, the main goal of theoretical computer science is to comprehend the boundaries of computation and the potential of computational paradigms. Theorists also create broad strategies for handling issues.

Condensing knowledge gained through conceptualisation, modelling, and analysis is one of the main purposes of theoretical computer science. Since knowledge is expanding so quickly, it needs

to be gathered, distilled, and organised in order to be of any use.

6.2: COMPUTER SCIENCE EXPERIMENTS

The most effective applications of experimental computer science are those that involve sophisticated software solutions, including building tools to handle limited optimisation issues, organising non-tabular data, or developing software development environments. The method basically consists of finding ideas that help solve problems and then testing those ideas by building prototype systems [11].

In computer science, experimentation is also utilised in a variety of domains, including search, automatic theorem proving, planning, NP-complete problems, natural language, vision, games, neural nets/connectionism, and machine learning. This methodology is shown in Figure 2.

6.3 SIMULATION ON A COMPUTER

Computation, which includes computer-based modelling and simulation, has emerged as a third research methodology to supplement theory and experimentation in recent years.

Computing environments and techniques have advanced to the point that they can solve extremely complicated problems.

It is now expected of university graduates, not just Computer Science majors, to be proficient in Computational Science tools such as 3D visualisation and computer simulation, efficient handling of large data sets, ability to access a variety of distributed resources, and ability to collaborate with other experts over the Internet. Scientific culture is beginning to include those abilities.

The necessity for dynamic and adaptable Computational Science is becoming more and more apparent with the rapid advancements in computers. At the nexus of computer science, applied mathematics, and science fields, computational science has arisen via both theoretical research and experimental development.




Figure 4: The fields of computer science, applied mathematics, and science disciplines converge to form computational science.

Through computer simulation, phenomena that are not amenable to replication in lab settings, like the universe's evolution, can be studied and regimes that are beyond existing experimental capabilities can be explored. Within the scientific domain, theoretical and experimental results inform computer simulations, and computational outcomes frequently propose novel experiments and theoretical frameworks. In engineering, computer models allow for the exploration of many more design choices than actual construction, typically at a fraction of the cost and time.




Figure 5 : Four astrophysical N-body Cold Dark Matter Model versions are compared through simulation.

These studies of galaxy formation are examples of simulations that can only be run on extremely powerful computers.

Science frequently advances through intensive research activity bursts. Despite its antiquated meaning, the term "simulation" accurately describes how a significant portion of science will be conducted in the coming century. In addition to testing, scientists will carry out computer experiments.

Testing scientific theories through the use of real physical research objects in experiments. Regardless of the particular application, simulation can also be viewed as a basic discipline in and of itself. If computability theory is the foundation of computer science, then computer simulation is the foundation of computational science.

To shed insight on the prospective or current role that simulation plays in each of these, let's examine a few of the major areas of previous focus:

Complex Systems and Chaos: Of fundamental interest is the notion that complexity can be observed inside a structurally simple deterministic paradigm. For linear systems, it is possible to identify qualitative topological phase space properties statically; however, for non-linear systems, simulation is required.

Digital Reality: The analyst is submerged in the simulated world through virtual reality. The technology needs to incorporate techniques for creating dynamic digital (virtual) worlds, despite the fact that it is frequently associated with man-machine hardware interfaces. This is a common issue with computer simulation.

Artificial Life: Computational science has produced results that go against our understanding of what constitutes an experiment. An artificial life experiment involves writing a computer software to mimic artificial life forms, frequently including metaphors like genetic mutation and reproduction.

Computer Animation and Physically Based Modelling: There has been a discernible advancement in computer graphics towards physically based modelling, which refers to constraint-based models that are based on physical rules.

7.CONCLUSIONS

We can conclude that despite all the qualities that set the relatively new discipline of computer science apart from multiple millennia-old sciences like logic and mathematics, computer science possesses a

sufficient number of scientific elements to be considered a science.

From the main perspective, it's critical to draw the conclusion that technology has a significant impact on all current sciences. That is a reasonable outcome given how closely technology is linked to the research that led to the advancement of modern sciences. This is especially true for computer science, which is obviously impacted by business

through engineering. It is also true for biology, chemistry, and physics.

Computer science engineering components frequently relate to computer hardware, but they can also take the form of software engineering.

Conversely, theoretical computer science is scientific in the same way that theoretical aspects of any other study are. It has a strong foundation in mathematics and logic.

8. REFERENCES

- [1] <http://www.chem.ualberta.ca/~plambeck/udc/> Universal Decimal Classification (UDC)
- [2] <http://www.tnrplib.bc.ca/dewey.html> Dewey Decimal Classification
- [3] <http://www.computer.org/education/cc2001/index.htm>
Computing Curricula 2001
- Denning, P.J. et al. Computing: as a Discipline. Commun. ACM 32, 1 (January 1989), 9-23.
- [4] Popper, K.R. The Logic of Scientific Discovery, NY: Routledge, 1999
- [5] Carnap, R. An Introduction to The Philosophy of Science, NY: Basic Books, 1994
- [6] Kuhn, T. The Structure of Scientific Revolutions, Chicago: Univ. Chicago Press, 1962
- [7] Chalmers, A. What is This Thing Called Science?, Hackett Publishing Co., 1990
- [8] Feyerabend, P. Against Method, London, U.K.: Verso, 2000
- [9] Peter Wegner, Research Paradigms In Computer Science, Proc. 2nd Int. Conference on Software Engineering, 1976, San Francisco, California
- [10] A. V. Aho, J. D. Ullman, Foundations of Computer Science, W.H. Freeman, New York, 1992.
- [11] <http://books.nap.edu/html/acesc/> Academic Careers for Experimental Computer Scientists and Engineers , National Research Council Washington, D.C