



‘ALĪ SHĪR NAVĀ’Ī AND HIS CONTRIBUTION TO TURKO-ISLAMIC CIVILIZATION

Donohon Abdugafurova, Ph.D

Wake Forest University

North Carolina, USA

October 3, 2024

Abstract

Can one person be a founder of a language? Niẓām al-Dīn ‘Alī Shīr Navā’ī (844–906/1441–1501), also known as Mīr ‘Alī Shīr, is a highly regarded figure in the formation and development of the Uzbek literary language because of his voluminous literary legacy in Chaghatay Turkic, a precursor language of Uzbek. He is a *zullisonayn*, or a bilingual, and wrote in Persian and Turkic. In addition to his scholarship, he was an important politician during the reign of the last Tīmūrid ruler, Sulṭān Ḥusayn Bāyqarā (r. 873–911/1469–1506). His writings and patronage for the literary production elevated the status of the Turkic language. He was the first literary figure who stood against the discrimination of the Turkic language and advocated for its deserved status. As a poet, vizier, mayor, and Sufi philosopher, Navā’ī made a special contribution to Turkic civilizations. Discussing Navā’ī’s life, his statesmanship and literary heritage this paper evaluates his contribution to the Turko-Islamic civilization through his literary and philanthropic legacies.

Olibmen taxti farmonimga oson,
Cherik chekmay Xitodin to Xuroson.
Xuroson demakim, Sherozu Tabriz,
Ki qilmishdir nayi kilkim shakarrez.
Ko‘ngul bermish so‘zumga turk jon ham,
Na yolg‘uz turk, balki turkmon ham.
With no force, I took control of Khurasan and
China,
Not only Khurasan, but also Sheraz and Tabriz
Whose flutes make my tongue sweeten.
Every Turk loves my words, not only Turk
but also Turkmen

.....

.....



*Emas oson bu maydon ichra turmoq, Nizomiy panjasiga
panja urmoq,*

Kerak sher oldida ham sheri jangi, agar sher o‘lmasa bori palangi

It is not easy to stand in this field, and attempting to fight back Nizamī

While facing a lion, you should be a lion or at least a tiger.

‘Alī Shīr Navā’ī

Introduction. The unifying power of Arabic after the advent of Islam in the Umayyad period (661-750), and transition of power to the Persian language in later Abbasid period (750-1258) created linguistic canons of high cultures. Scholars beyond the Middle East were able to travel and study freely in the territories of *Dar al-Islam*, and were well versed in Arabic and Persian. The birthplace became less important to the individuals who took part in the intellectual and mercantile lives of the caliphates. This phenomenon served as a main justification to place these languages into high level of hierarchy, leaving other languages at the margin. With the same token, Arabs and Persians were considered to be noble people, where Turks were servants with their military qualifications. Even in the *Mamluk* dynasty’s case where Turks rose to power, their ethnic background as slaves and soldiers were stressed among other features. The administration faced an ethno-specific division such as Arabs as rulers, Persians as administrators, and Turks as soldiers. Although the reliance of political entities on military strategies assured their dependence on Turks’ military qualities, understanding of Turks’ capabilities only in fighting, relegated the intellectual contributions of Turkic people in Islamic Civilizations to the side.

Here, it is prudent to remember Central Asian scholars who contributed to the development of Islamic Civilizations. Two of the six respected Hadith compilers, Abū Isma`il al-Bukhārī (d.870) and Abu `Isa Muhammad al-Tirmīdhī (d. 892), and well known Qur’ān commentator Abū al-Qāsim Mahmud ibn ‘Umar al-Zamakhsharī (d.1144.) were from Central Asia. The influential theologian Abū Mansur Muhammad al-Maturidī (d. ca. 944) and a famous jurist Burhān al-Dīn

Abū al-Hasan al-Marghinānī (d. 1197); the great scholar Abū Nasr al-Muhammad al-Fārabī (d. ca. 950), known as “the second teacher” (after Aristotle); and the rationalist philosopher Abū `Alī Ibn Sina (d.1037, known in the West as Avicenna), an encyclopedic scholar Abū Rayḥān Muḥammad ibn Aḥmad Al-Bīrūnī (d.1048) are important figures in the history of Islamic civilization who came from that region.

I am far from claiming that they were all Turks. However, they were all born and obtained initial education in the region. Somehow, in the history of Islamic civilizations they became Persians. Claiming every great mind as Persian is as insulting as the claim that every Turk is a brutal killer without a brain. If scholars wrote in Arabic or Persian, do they become Arabs or Persians? They were part of broader networks of mercantile and learning environment that the empire established, which served to make the cities of Transoxiana part of the heartland of Islamic civilizations. The understanding that Turks are only capable of fighting, not worthy of intellectual works, ‘just a brawl, not the brain’ led to the diminutive attitudes toward Turkic cultures and languages. A Central Asian poet, writer, politician and linguist and mystic, Nizām al-Dīn `Alī Shīr Navā`ī (1441-1501) stood against such understandings and discriminations about the Turkic language and strived to show the capacities of it. As a result of his mission, he became the greatest representative of Chagatai language and literature.

The prominent scholar of Islamic Studies Marshall Hodgson proposes the concept of *Islamicate* culture to create inclusiveness of Islam as a faith to the wide variety of contexts.³⁷ However, the term is limited, and serves best when talking about different cultures and heritages under the unifying faith. The term *Islamicate* does not highlight ethnic differentiation sufficiently. At the face of discussions of Arabic and Persian cultures as bases and high cultures of Islamic Civilizations, in

³⁷ Marshal Hodgson, *Venture of Islam, Volume 1: The Classical Age of Islam* (Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 2009), p.57-60. Another Central Asian scholar Adeeb Khalid proposed a term *Islamism* to denote Islam’s existence in the face of modernism. See Khalid, Adeeb. *Islam After Communism: Religion and Politics in Central Asia*. (University of California Press, 2008), 13.

this paper, I emphasize the ethnic dimension and prefer to use the term Turco-Islamic civilization to deliver the Islam’s relations to the fifteenth century Central Asian culture of Khurasan, Turkic language, and other elements that make up the civilization. For doing so, I turn into the life of a fifteenth century poet, statesman and a Sufi Nizām al-Dīn ‘Alī Shīr Navā’ī.

Navā’ī was aware of discriminations against the Turkic language and deliberately fought back against this ideology with his pen. Through his assiduous works, the Turkic language gained a special recognition in his time and later on. Navā’ī wrote in Chagatai Turkic, old form of Central Asian language, which is also called the old Uzbek language. Today scholars consider Navā’ī as a founder of the Uzbek literary language. Although one person cannot be a founder of a specific language, the contribution of a person can highlight the potentiality of linguistic, stylistic and philological elements. Abduqodir Hayitmetov argues that Navā’ī’s writing in Uzbek is the indication of the political status of the Turkic speaking aristocrats. The king Ҳусайн Бāйқарā, the grandson of Tamerlane, wrote in this language as well. Navā’ī’s first readers were also Uzbeks who had good taste (*hush ta’b*) and pure thought (*sof idrok*).³⁸

Navā’ī’s poetic talent, voluminous production in Chagatai Turkic, and the state patronage made his voice louder and stronger, turning him into one of the influential figures in the history of Turco-Islamic Civilization and of the development of the Uzbek language. Navā’ī’s contribution to Turkic civilizations is highlighted by his Pan-Turkic stance especially in literary production. This paper talks about Navā’ī’s life, his statesmanship and literary heritage. It evaluates his contribution to the Turco-Islamic civilization through his literary and philanthropic legacies

Navā’ī and the state affairs. Navā’ī’s involvement in state affairs enabled him perform philanthropic activities. In his philanthropic efforts, we see the great

³⁸ Abduqodir Hayitmetov, *‘Alī Shīr Navoiyning Adabiy-Tanqidiy Qarashlari* [‘Alī Shīr Navā’ī’s Literary and Critical Views] (Tashkent: Fan, 1959), 44.

poet's political qualities and concerns to the betterment of humanity. Scholars argue that based on Navā'ī's own words and historians' writings, the responsibilities when Navā'ī was close to the administration (1472-1476) can be categorized into three areas: intention of maintaining peace, sponsoring public buildings and supporting scholars. Navā'ī himself writes in his work *Waqfiya* that he fought for the above-mentioned causes as he could, and in the occasions where he was not able to, he asked the support of the king.

Navā'ī's another goal was to improve the development and prosperity of the kingdom. Therefore, he was involved in the patronage of public buildings. He was the initiator of *Nizamiyya* and *Ihlosiya* madrasas, *Xalosiya* Khanaqah [a Sufi corner], and *Shifoiyya* hospital, in Herat, and *Khusraviyya* madrasa in Marv. Through funding of public buildings, Navā'ī contributed to the development of urban planning and infrastructure. In fact, the city of Herat became one of the developed cities in his time. Zahiriddin Muhammad Babur (d.1530) spoke highly of Navā'ī's accomplishments in building public facilities. “He built these many buildings, very few people have accomplished this.”³⁹ Historian Kwandamir lists almost four hundred buildings that Navā'ī sponsored.⁴⁰ After Navā'ī starts working for Sultan Husayn, his inheritance that was confiscated by Abu Said had been returned to him. He used the income from his lands to these philanthropic cases. Babur indicates that Navā'ī did not receive any money from the king, instead he voluntarily paid the Sultan different amounts.⁴¹ Kwandamir writes that in order to help poor people, Navā'ī paid taxes on their behalf.⁴²

Another accomplishment of Navā'ī's philanthropy is his patronage of scholars of arts and sciences. Babur highlights Navā'ī's sponsorship of several scholars who became well-known in their fields. The musicians, Qulmuhammad,

³⁹ Babur Zahiriddin Muhammad, *Baburnoma* (Tashkent: Yulduzcha, 1990), p.154.

⁴⁰ Kwandamir, *Makorim al ahloq* p.66-68.

⁴¹ Babur, 154.

⁴² Kwandamir, Tashkent, 1948, p.60.

Shaikh Noyi, and Husayn Udiy directly enjoyed Navā’ī’s financial and spiritual support. Also, Kamoliddin Behzād (d.1535) and Shah Muzaffar (ca.16 century) became well known painters.⁴³ Herat holds a special place in the development of miniature paintings genre of Islamic art. The literary life of Herat was flourishing at this time. Poets such as Binoiy, Shayhim Suhayli, Kwaja Osafi Binoiy, musicians such as Hasan Noyi, Kwaja Abdulla Marvori, Qulmuhammad Udiy, Shahquli Ghijjakiy, historians Mirkhand and Kwandamir, and other several painters and writers participated in the capital’s intellectual life. Navā’ī sponsored the historian Mirkhand (d.1498) to write a biographical and historical work of prophets and kings, *Rawdat al-safa fī siyar al-anbiya wal-muluk wal-khulafa* [The Garden of Purity about Biographies of Prophets, Kings and Caliphs]. Mirkhand’s grandson Kwandamir (d.1534) emphasizes Navā’ī’s sponsorship and writes that Navā’ī gave his own room to Mirkhand and asked him to write that book in the *Ikhlasīyya khanaqah*. Under the sponsorship of Navā’ī, Mirkhand finished the six-volume book.⁴⁴

Uzbek scholar Shuhrat Sirojiddinov summarizes the state affairs that Navā’ī was involved. He lists nine categories based on *Waqfiya*, a documentary book of Navā’ī’s state responsibilities that was written by Navā’ī himself. They include a wide range of areas that require strong public relations skills: a) celebrations of holidays, b) foreign affairs, such as receiving and seeing off foreign officials, c) emergency situations, such as riots and border problems, d) anti-bribery affairs, e) anti-plundering and looting, e) court and justice, f) investigation of complaint letters from different districts, g) amnesty, and h) religious affairs.⁴⁵ These state

⁴³ Babur, 154.

⁴⁴ Navā’ī also writes about this sponsorship in *Waqfiya*. However, the name of the *khanaqah Xalosiya* is written as *Ihlosiya* in Kwandamir’s account. It could be the mistake of the copier rather than of Kwandamir’s. Because the mixing of the names of *khanaqah* with *madrasa* would be inconsiderate mistake to the historian. See Shuhrat Sirojiddinov, *‘Alī Shīr Navoiy: Manbalarning Qiyosiy-Tipologik, Teksicologic Tahlili* [‘Alī Shīr Navā’ī: Comparative-Typological and Textual Analysis of Primary Sources], (Tashkent: Akademnashr, 2011), 210.

⁴⁵ Sirojiddinov, p.67.

affairs attest that Navā’ī was a trusted, experienced and strategic politician, public officer, orator and a leader.

Navā’ī’s works. Another important element that highlights the contribution of Navā’ī to the Turco-Islamic Civilization is his literary heritage that served as a vehicle to deliver his agenda of improving the status of the Turkic language. Sultan Ḥusayn Bāyqarā writes about Navā’ī that “To the dead body of the Turkic language (he) gave a soul, *ruh* with Jesus’s breath.⁴⁶ His mention of Jesus indicates to the fact that Jesus is regarded having abilities to revive the dead body. Bāyqarā utilizes the same analogy to Navā’ī’s linguistic aptitude, because he was able to revive the Turkic language that was considered almost dead because of limited attention to it at the scholarly level. Navā’ī demonstrated the worth of the Turkic language by writing in it. As a result of Navā’ī’s efforts, the Turkic language not only lived on but also prospered during and after Navā’ī’s time.

Abduqodir Khayitmetov categorizes Navā’ī’s works into four sections: 1) Diwāns, 2) Dostons, 3) Persian Poetry, and 4) Philological and historical prose works. However, his categorization does not seem systematic. I would argue that Navā’ī’s works can be categorized as poetry and prose, and each section has its sub-categorizations, or poetry—Turkic and Persian, *ghazals*, *dostons*, and prose—scientific, and historical. In a broader context, Navā’ī’s works can be categorized based on their contribution to the fields of literature, linguistics, Islam/theology, history and Sufism. The comprehensive detailed knowledge about these fields depict Navā’ī not only as a well versed poet, but also a scholar of literature, religion and history.

Literature. In the field of literature, Navā’ī’s *Mezon al-awzan* [The measurement of metrics] and *Mufradat* also, *Risoi Muammo*, (about *muammo* genre) stand as theoretical explanations of the art of metrics in poetry writing and a

⁴⁶ Husayn Bāiqara, *Devon. Risola* (Tashkent: Sharq, 1991) p.161.

special genre. *Mufradat*, written in Persian, is about the literary genre *muammo* (riddle) which was widespread at the time of Navā’ī. He discusses the rules of writing and solving of *muammo*. In writing *Mizan al-awzan* Navā’ī was influenced by the works of Khalil ibn Ahmad (ca. 786 or 791), Shams Qays (fl.1204-1230), Kwaja Nasriddin Tusi (d. 1274) and Jami (d.1492) on ‘*aruz*, classical literary genre of poetry, and created his own work in Turkic. Navā’ī’s work is considered to be the first work which is written in Uzbek. It explains the structure of nineteen metric structures within ‘*aruz*, and the metrics of quatrains. Navā’ī provides poetic examples to his argument.

Khamsa (Five Epics) is the most important work that places Navā’ī at the high rank in Uzbek literature. His goal of creating *khamsa* in Turkic was realized in 1483-1485. With this accomplishment, Navā’ī brought attention to the capacities of the Turkic language as a worthy of writing literature. *Khamsa* includes five epics: *Hayrat al-abrar*, *Farhād and Shirin*, *Layli and Majnun*, *Sab’ai Sayyar* and *Saddi Iskandariy*. This writing was Navā’ī’s another attempt to prove the literary qualities of the Turkic language. It consists of fifty-two thousand lines. Navā’ī provided Islamic understanding of cosmos, nature, human being, and perfection through historical stories about kings of the East Khusrav, Bahrām and Alexander and love stories of Farhād and Shirin, Layli and Majnun. He brought the *Khamsa* tradition to the Turkic language and gave his protagonists Turkic characteristics.

The five epics that are included in *Khamsa* are independent poems in their own right. It illustrates the cultural and historical life of 15th century Khurasan and Mâ warâ al-nahr. At the fate of bloodsheds toward acquiring power, Navā’ī wrote about an ideal king. People do not have mercy even for their keens. Prince Abdullatif killed his father Ulughbek, Sultan Ҳusayn Bāyqarā killed his grandson Mu’min Mirza. The brutal nature of the kingdom life urged Navā’ī to write about ideal kings. He dedicates the fifth poem of the *Khamsa*, *Saddi Iskandari*, to prince Badiuzzamon, the son of Ҳusayn Bāyqarā.

In 1495, Navā’ī translated Jami’s *Nafahat al-Uns* and named it *Nasoyim al-Muhabbah*. His translation was beyond verbatim making the work more of an independent quality. Besides Jami’s inclusion of 618 biographical entries, Navā’ī made additional entries making the overall number reach 770. He includes saints of Turkic origin as well as of India. It is considered one of the important sources of Sufi literature. In writing *Nasoyim al-muhabbah*, Navā’ī followed the traditions of *tazkira* writing of masters who lived before him, including ‘Attar.⁴⁷

Among his works, the treatise *Majalis al-Nafais* (1490-92) provides information about biography of poets. It includes 359 entries about Persian and Turkic poets who lived before and during his lifetime. Navā’ī describes each poet’s advantages and faulty lines. It is an example of *tabaqat* literature that provides information about biographies and important works of poets. Because of these features, the work has an historical value as well as literary significance.

Linguistics. *Muhokomah al-Lughatayn*, another important work by Navā’ī, is an apologetic writing to the common perception that the Turkic language is only ordinary peoples’ language and does not have intricacies to deliver the nuances of poetry. He argues that Turkic possess qualities of refined language and provides examples of one hundred verbs that Persian does not possess to deliver subtle differences. He compares the potentiality of Persian and Turkic in terms of comprehensive grammar, expanse of vocabulary and delivering nuances of the language. Navā’ī advocates the beauty of the Turkic language over Persian and provides detailed explanations of hundred Turkic verbs that can explain the degrees of the actions that the Persian language lacks. The important point about this work is that most of those verbs that he provides are still in use in the Uzbek

⁴⁷ Abu Rayhan Beruni Institute of Manuscripts has over fifty manuscript of *Tazkira al-awliya*, the earliest belonging to 802/1399 (MS # 13253/1) and the latest belonging to the late XIX century. See Abdumajid Madraimov, Fariduddin Attor va Uning *Tazkirat al-awliyo Asari Haqida*” in Fariduddin Attor, *Tazkira al-awliyo* (Tashkent: Gafur Gulom nomidagi matbaa uyi, 2013), 8.

language today. He also discusses the role of Turkic language in social, political and literary lives.⁴⁸

History. *Tarikhi Muluki ‘Ajam* is about ancient kings, their remarkable deeds and personalities. Navā’ī uses Firdawsi’s *Shahname* and Tabari’s *Tarikhi Tabari* as main sources for his work. *Tarikhi Anbiya va Hukama’* is another work about the history of Islam. Navā’ī also includes the ancient philosophers such as Ptolemy, Hippocrates, and Plato in this latter work. The Russian scholar Nikolay Konrad depicts Navā’ī as *ibn al-waqt*, the son of his time. He takes his masters’ achievements and develops further with his own approach that is the result of his time and place. This very belonging makes Navā’ī’s work original contribution to the Eastern renaissance.⁴⁹

Some of Navā’ī’s works, such as *Waqfiya* is an important source since it serves as a document to the properties that Navā’ī possessed. It also depicts the system of administration of Bāyqarā’s royal court, and Navā’ī’s qualities as a public official. The work is mainly prose but Navā’ī delivers some of his thoughts through poetry. Another great work of Navā’ī is *Mahbub al-Qulub*, a prose that is didactic in nature. Navā’ī describes his life and difficulties that he endured in this work. Khayitmetov argues that this work is regarded as important as Shaikh Sa’di’s *Gulistān*, Kaykavus’s *Qobusnāma*, and Nizamī Aruzī Samarqandī’s *Chor Maqola*.⁵⁰

Sufism. ‘Alī Shīr’ s choice of Navā’ī as a penname to his Turkic poems and Fānī for his Persian poems indicate his keen interest in music and Sufism. He was

⁴⁸ Islamic creation stories usually considered trope in writings of historians and even poets. Navā’ī asserts that Noah had 3 sons, Japhes, Sam, Ham. Japhes is the forefather of Sam Persians whereas Ham is of Hindus. Slightly different version of creations of nations is provided in the later works poets after Navā’ī. For example, like Navā’ī, Central Asian poet Aghahi (d.1878) asserts that Turks are descendants of Noah’s oldest son, Japhes, but Noah had more than three sons.

⁴⁹ Nilolai Konrad, “‘Alī Shīr Navā’ī” *Tafakkur*, Tashkent, 1997, N1. 38-42.

⁵⁰ Abduqodir Khayitmetov, “‘Alī Shīr Navā’ī”, *Uzbek National Encyclopedia* (Tashkent, Dictionaries, 2001), p.335.

exposed to *Mantiq al-Tayr* of Farīd al-Dīn ‘Attār (d. 1220) at a very early age and was moved by the meaning of the work. He started contemplating on the symbolism and the hidden meaning of what he read and became introvert. His parents were worried by seeing change in their son’s character and took away ‘Attār’s book, which had a strong influence on Navā’ī. However, taking away of the book did not change anything. By this time, ‘Alī Shīr knew all of the work by heart.⁵¹ Later in his life, he wrote *Lisān al-Tayr* [Language of Birds] as an answer to his contemplations over ‘Attār’s work. In the introduction of the work, Navā’ī writes that ‘Attār showed great talent in writing about birds in their language. By saying that to understand the birds’ conversation, one has to be Solomon, Navā’ī implies inner meaning of the work which not everybody is capable of deciphering.⁵² Uzbek scholar Vahob Rahmonov argues that *Lisān al-Tayr* serves in two ways. It is a scientific manual for a Sufi aspirant, who is looking for God, and it can also serve as an aesthetic literary work for an ordinary reader.⁵³

The main argument of the work is the Sufi concept of *wahdat al-wujud* [Oneness of being]. Through the symbolic descriptions of bird’s search for the great bird, Semurgh, Navā’ī describes Sufi *Maqāmāt*, or the stages of reaching to human perfection. Through challenges and spiritual trainings, the birds realized that they found the great bird within themselves.

Lisān al-Tayr is not only a literary piece of Sufi literature but also a historical work that includes some information about the literary heritage of Navā’ī. As we indicated before he used Navā’ī to his works written in Turkic but decides to use Fānī to *Lisān al-Tayr*. The seven consecutive valleys, *Talab* [Quest], *Ishq* [Love], *Ma’rifah* [Gnostics], *Istighnā* [Mindlessness], *Tawhīd* [Oneness],

⁵¹ ‘Alī Shīr Navā’ī, *Lison al-tayr* (Tashkent: Adabiyot va San’at, 1991), 104.

⁵² Ibid.,23. The prophet Solomon had a special quality to understand the language of animals.

⁵³ Vahob Abdurahmanov, “Ko’ngillar Hamrozi [Confidant of Hearts]” Introduction to ‘Alī Shīr Navā’ī, *Lison al-tayr* (Tashkent: Adabiyot va San’at, 1991), 5.

Hayrat [Wonder] and *Fanā* prepare a Sufi to the unification with God. Since the work is about Spiritual journey it symbolizes the ending point of the life, also the birds reached their destination in Fanā valley.⁵⁴ Navā’ī intentionally waits till his later years to write this work and used Fānī as his penname. It symbolizes his life journey as a Sufi and describes the challenges that a Sufi has to endure to reach God.

Navā’ī’s Poetry. Navā’ī places poetry over prose. He supports his stance by referring to the style of Qur’ān. According to him, poetic elements of the Qur’ān highlight the power of poetry.⁵⁵ Navā’ī’s very first Turkic *diwān*, *Bada’i al-Bidaya*, was compiled in late 1470’s. Later, *Navadir al-Nihaya* was composed. Navā’ī was one of the few poets who compiled a *diwān* in Turkic. Navā’ī organized all of his poetry into a *Hazoyin al-Maonī*, an anthology of his poetry. The collection has four symbolic references to life stages. *Ghara’ib al-Sighar*, [the Wonders of Childhood] *Nawadir Shabab* [Rarities of Youth], *Bada’i al-Wasat* [Beauty of Middle Age], and *Fawayid al-Kibar* [Benefits of Seniority]. Because of these four divisions, Navā’ī’s collection is also called *Chor Diwān* or four anthologies. This *Diwān* includes Navā’ī’s all poems that were included in the previous *diwāns*. These kinds of exhaustive *diwāns* were compiled only by Khusrav Dehlavi of Hindustan. The important point about the names of this *Diwān* is that they should not be understood in chronological order. For example, the poems that included in *Ghara’ib al-Sighar* were not written when Navā’ī was a young poet. Each section consists of 650 ghazals totaling 2600. Besides ghazals, sixteen other genres of classic literature such as *qit’a*, *rubai*, *fard*, *muammo*, *tuyuq*, and *muhammas* are included. Navā’ī’s collection of Persian poems *Diwāni Fānī*, was published in Tehran (Iran, 1963), Tashkent (Uzbekistan, 1965) and Dushanbe (Tajikistan, 1993). However, Navā’ī’s writings in Turkic surpasses in number and volume showing his zeal to improve the status of Turkic as a literary language.

⁵⁴ Navā’ī, *Lisonal-tayr*, 106.

⁵⁵ ‘Alī Shīr Navā’ī, *Khayrat al-abror*, (Tashkent: Adabiyat va San’at, 1989), 41.

Navā’ī on Scholarship. Navā’ī is regarded sometimes as a genius and at times as an imitator or a mere translator of Persian literature. European and Russian scholars studied Navā’ī’s life and works. French scholar Katrmer (D.) includes examples of *Tarikhi Muluki ‘Ajam* and *Muhakamat al-Lugatayn* in his literature collection. I. N. Berezin includes several examples of in his Turk *Khristomatiya*. Other Russian scholars N. I. Ilminski, V.VVelyaminov Zernov, B.B. Berthold, E. Bertels, S. N Ivanov, N. I. Konrad, M. Belen, E. Brown wrote scholarly monographs and articles. *Muhakamat al-Lugatayn* was partially translated into English by Robert Devereux. In *A History of Inner Asia*, scholar Svat Soucek talks about history of Transoxiana [*Turanzāmin*] and includes a section about Navā’ī and his special role in the 15th century Central Asian political and intellectual life under the discussion of Temurid intellectual life.⁵⁶

Nikitski and Belen, argued that Navā’ī was an imitator and a translator of the Persian language. Other scholars E. Brown, French scholar Buva also share the same view. The scholars Rahim Vohidov and Eshonqulov argue that there were several reasons for Western scholars’ conclusion. First, Navā’ī’s complete works were not available in Western languages, which can attest to Navā’ī’s literary prowess, and second, the traditional themes of *Khamsa* seemed repetition to Western scholars. According to them, Navā’ī’s acknowledges by saying “I wrote in the way of translation”, but this statement alone should not serve as a base to conclude that he was an imitator and a translator.⁵⁷ The superficial conclusion based on these words disregards Navā’ī’s style and creations. However, these claims were challenged E. Bertels who compared Attar’s *Mantiq al-Tayr* and Navā’īy’s *Lishan al-Tayr*. Bertels demonstrated that Navā’ī, despite being inspired by ‘Attar’s work, employed his unique style and showed Turkic characteristics in his work.⁵⁸

⁵⁶ Svat Soucek, *A History of Inner Asia*, Cambridge University Press, 2000), 148-149.

⁵⁷ Rahim Vohidov and Husniddin Eshonqulov, *O‘zbek Mumtoz Adabiyoti Tarixi*. Tashkent: Adabiyot), 203.

Uzbek scholar Sultonmurod Olim equals Navā’ī and Bāyqarā relationship to Aristotle in Alexander’s court.⁵⁹ Olim argues that Navā’ī belonged to *Naqshbandiyyah* order, because most of the rulers of that period belonged to that order. And Navā’ī was a disciple of Jāmī, next great *shaykh* after Kwaja Ahrar walī.⁶⁰ He emphasizes Navā’ī’s philosophy and spiritual dedication, and considers him a *kāmil insān*, a perfect human being.

The Muslim reformists of early the 20th century who promoted Islam’s compatibility with modernism, also paid a special attention to Navā’ī’s legacy. In 1919, the first Uzbek Professor Abdurauf Fitrat published excerpts from *Hayrat al-Abrar*, the first epic of *Khamasa* under the name of *Navā’ī’s Opinion about Humanity*. As a widespread interest to Navā’ī’s legacy, the field of Navā’ī studies formed. Uzbek scholars Ozod Sharofiddinov, Sadriddin Ayniy, Vohid Zohidov, Izzat Sulton, Aziz Qayumov, Porso Shamsiyev, Natan Mallaev, Suyuma Ghanieva, Abduqodir Khayitmetov, Alibek Rustamov, and A.bdurashid Abdugafurov contributed to the Navā’ī studies.

Besides historical works, literary coverage and theatrical depictions of Navā’ī’s life helped to publicize him as a great politician, talented poet, and trusted friend. Oybek’s novel, *Navā’ī*, Izzat Sultan’s documentary story “*Navā’ī’s Inner Soul*” [*Nawoiyning Qalb Daftari*], Mirkarim Osim’s collections of stories permeated into the public discourse. Plays ‘*Alī Shīr Navā’ī*’ (by Izzat Sultan and Uyghun, 1945, 1948), *Iskandar* (1991), musicals *Navā’ī in Astrobod* (I. Mahsumov, Yunus Rajabiy and S. Jalil, 1967), *Farhad and Shirin* (1937), operas *Layli and Majnoon* (1942), *Dilorom* (1958), ballet show *Suhayl and Mehri* highlight the ideological Soviet agenda.

⁵⁸ Bertels argues that Navai followed the classic tradition, at the same time he used his own Turkic elements to the works. He provides stories about living *shayks* of his time as well as before. See: E. Betels, *Navā’ī I Attar*, Leningrad: 1928.

⁵⁹ Sultonmurod Olim. “Temur va Navā’ī” [Temur and Navā’ī] *Tafakkur*, Tashkent 1996 N2. p.71.

⁶⁰ *Ibid.*, 73.

During the Soviet time, Navā’ī’s legacy was interpreted in the framework of proletariat ignoring the spiritual side of his works. The creation of a protagonist Guli, a young girl as Navā’ī’s beloved diverted the audiences from his spiritual Sufi commitments or the fact that Navā’ī was never married was not studied through his Sufi commitments. The influence of the Qur’ān and Hadith in his writings was equally ignored due to atheistic ideology. After the independence, Navā’ī’s legacy has been studied through Sufism. Although most of the scholars argue that Navā’ī belonged to *Naqshbandiyyah* order, because he was involved in worldly affairs, some argue that he had his own *Tariqa* or order. I am far from agreeing with the latter claim. However, I argue that scholars who regard Navā’ī as a *Naqshbandiy* Sufi, ignore the elements of Chishti order in Navā’ī’s life, specifically commitment to celibacy. In his writings, Navā’ī regards highly of Ghanji Shakar, a Chishti Sufi.⁶¹

Scholars argue against simplifying the language of Navā’ī. They do not agree that his language is archaic to the contemporary Uzbek language. For example, Abdurashid Abdug’afurov denounced this translation approach of any kind. Because of this approach, the depiction of real Navā’ī is distorted as Navā’ī was really attentive to the word choice.⁶²

This criticism is similar to Marshal Hodgson’s argument about transliteration of Arabic and Persian words into English. He argues that not following the meticulous distinction is underestimating the reader’s knowledge.⁶³ However, a reader, who is not familiar with Arabic and Persian, cannot distinguish the letters and may prefer less diacritical markings. By the same logic, some of the vocabulary Navā’ī uses are not accessible to today’s readers. Therefore, to this day

⁶¹ See ‘Alī Shīr Nawai, *Nasoyim al muhabba*, p. 181-182.

⁶² Abdurashid Abdugafurov, *Byuk Beshlik Saboqlari* [Lessons of Great Quinary] (Tashkent: Adabiyot), p.66.

⁶³ Marshal Hodgson, *Venture of Islam, Volume 1: The Classical Age of Islam* (Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 2009), p.8-20.

many simplified prose interpretations are published in Uzbek. This approach is an attempt to bring Navā’ī to the public rather than keeping his legacy at distance.

Conclusion. In the Turco Islamic Civilizations, the Herat intellectual milieu in the fifteenth century has its own rank. It is a place where the Turkic philosopher, linguist, statesman Navā’ī brought the status of the Turkic language to a higher level, established peace treaties under his tenure as a minister in Temurid king Husayn Bāyqarā’s court. Because of his dedication to the improvement of people’s lives, development of the homeland and attention to the intellectual production, Navā’ī became famous, and the stories about his life became well known among people. The historian Kwandamir includes seventeen stories and ten anecdotes about Navā’ī in his *Makorim al-Akhlaq*.⁶⁴ The stories about Navā’ī depicts him as a wise chancellor, poet philosopher, protector of ordinary people. There are stories “*Navā’ī and a young man, Navā’ī and forty vaziers, Navā’ī and Guli.*”⁶⁵ Navā’ī’s legacy became widespread among Turkic and Persian speaking people after his death. It served as an important catalyst for new literary productions. Not only Uzbek poets, but also Tadjik, Azerbaijan, Turkman and Tatar poets consider Navā’ī as a founder of Turkic literature and refer to his works as literary models.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

Abdugafurov, Abdurashid *Buyuk Beshlik Saboqlari* [The Lessons of Great Quinary] Tashkent: 1991.

Abdurahmanov Vahob, “Ko’ngillar Hamrozi [Confidant of Hearts]” 1-9, Introduction to ‘Alī Shīr Navā’ī, *Lison al-tayr Tashkent*: Adabiyot va San’at, 1991.

‘Alī Shīr Navā’ī, *Lison al-tayr*, Tashkent: Adabiyot va San’at, 1991.

‘Alī Shīr Navā’ī, *Khayrat al-abror*, Tashkent: Adabiyot va San’at, 1989.

‘Alī Shīr Navā’ī, *Nasoyim al muhabba*, Tashkent: Institute of Language and Literature, 1991.

‘Alī Shīr Navā’ī, *Muhākamat al-lughatain*, trans. Robert Devereux. Leiden: E. J. Brill, 1966

⁶⁴ Scholars Jurayev M. and Natan Mallayev studied Navā’ī’s inclusion in literary and oral histories. See Jurayev M. “‘Alī Shīr Nawoiy Khaqidagi Rivoyatlar Manbalari va Tasnifi” [The Classification of the Sources of Stories about ‘Alī Shīr Navā’ī] *Uzbek Tili va Adabiyoti*, 1991, Number 4, B.52; Natan Mallayev, *‘Alī Shīr Navā’ī va Khalq Ijodiyoti* [‘Alī Shīr Navā’ī and folklore] (Tashkent: Adabiyot va san’at, 1974.

⁶⁵ See M Bo’tayev, *El desa Navā’īyni*, Toshkent: Cho’lpon 1991.



- ‘Alī Shīr Navā’ī, *Mukammal Asarlar To‘plami* [The Complete Works], Tashkent: volumes 1- 20. Tashkent, Literature Institute, 1987-2002
- A V. V. Barthold, *Four Studies on the History of Central Asia*. Translated from the Russian by V. and T. Minorsky. Vol. I II: *Mir cAh Shir*, Leiden, 1962.
- Bāīqara, Husayn. *Devon. Risola*, Tashkent: Sharq, 1991
- Bo’tayev, M, *El desa Navā’īyni*, Tashkent: Cho’lpon ,1991.
- Betels, Evgeniy. *Navā’ī I Attar*, Leningrad: 1928.
- Hayitmetov, Abduqodir ‘*Alī Shīr Navoiyning Adabiy-Tanqidiy Qarashalari* [‘Alī Shīr Navā’ī’s Literary and Critical Views]. Tashkent: Fan, 1959.
- Abduqodir Khayitmetov, “‘Alī Shīr Navā’ī”, 332-343, *Uzbek National Encyclopedia*, Tashkent, Dictionaries, 2001.
- Hodgson, Marshall GS. *The Venture of Islam, Volume 1: The Classical Age of Islam*. University of Chicago Press, 2009.
- Jurayev M. “‘Alī Shīr Nawoiy Khaqidagi Rivoyatlar Manbalari va Tasnifi” [The Classification of the Sources of Stories about ‘Alī Shīr Navā’ī] *Uzbek Tili va Adabiyoti*, 1991, Number 4, 49-57.
- Khalid, Adeeb. *Islam After Communism: Religion and Politics in Central Asia*. (University of California Press, 2008),
- Konrad, Nilolai “‘Alī Shīr Navā’ī” *Tafakkur*, Tashkent, 1997, N 1. 38-42.
- Kwandamir, *Makorim al ahloq*. trans. Porso Shamsiyev. Tashkent: Adabiyot 1967.
- Madraimov, Abdumajid, “Fariduddin Attor va Uning *Tazkirat al-awliyo* Asari Haqida” 5-8. in Fariduddin Attor, *Tazkira al-awliyo* (Tashkent: Gafur Gulom nomidagi matbaa uyi, 2013).
- Mallayev, Natan ‘*Alī Shīr Navā’ī va Khalq Ijodiyoti* [‘Alī Shīr Navā’ī and folklore] (Tashkent: Adabiyot va San’at, 1974.
- Manz, Beatrice Forbes. "The development and meaning of Chaghatay Identity." *Jo-Ann Gross (ed.), Muslims in Central Asia: Expressions of Identity and Change* (1992): 27-45.
- Olim, Sultonmurod “Temur va Navā’ī” [Temur and Navā’ī] *Tafakkur*, 66-83.Tashkent, 1996 N2
- Scott Siraj al-Haqq Kugle, *Homosexuality in Islam: Critical Reflection on Gay, Lesbian, and Transgender Muslims*, Oxford: One world, 2010.
- Soucek, Svat. *A History of Inner Asia*. Cambridge University Press, 2000
- Shir, Mir Ali. *Muhakamat Al-lughatain*. Edited by Robert Devereux. EJ Brill, 1966.
- Sirojiddinov, Shuhrat, ‘*Alī Shīr Navā’ī : Manbalarning Qiyosiy-Tipologik- Textologik Tahlili* [Alishe Navā’ī: Comparative-typological and textual analysis of sources], Tashkent: Akademnashr, 2011.
- Sultan, Izzat *Navoiyning Qalb Daftari* [Navā’ī’s Inner Soul], Tashkent: Adabiyot Nashriyoti, 1969.
- Zahiriddin Muhammad Babur, *Baburnoma* (Tashkent: Yulduzcha), 1990.