



**SELECTION OF ALTERNATIVES, EXPERT  
ASSESSMENT AND BIDDING CRITERIA**

**Mirzaakhmedova Aziza Xusnitdinovna <sup>(a)</sup>, Akbarova Nigora  
Ruxiddinovna<sup>(a)</sup>**

<sup>(a)</sup>Toshkent to'qimachilik va yengil sanoat instituti

**Maqola haqida ma'lumot**  
**Qabul qilingan: 02.04.2024**  
**Qayta qabul : 15.04.2024**  
**Saytda mavjud : 1.05.2024**

**Muallif (lar)**

**A.H.Mirzaakhmedova**

**N.R.Akbarova**



**Muallif bilan aloqa**

**<https://orcid.org/0009-0000-6651-2429>**



**[mu1234@gmail.com](mailto:mu1234@gmail.com)**

**© Muallif. A.H.Mirzaakhmedova  
va boshqalar**  
**UNIVERSAL xalqaro ilmiy jurnal**

Ochiq ma'lumotlar:

**<https://universaljurnal.uz/index.php/jurnal>**

**Maxfiylik bayonoti**

Materialni istalgan vosita yoki formatda nusxalash va qayta tarqatish hamda maqoladan to'g'ri iqtibos keltirish va litsenziyasini ko'rsatish sharti bilan istalgan maqsadda foydalanish mumkin.

**ABSTRACT:**

An approach to predicting the number of scientific personnel is proposed based on the regression model and expert assessment methods that give an opportunity to take into account the intensity of the development of the scientific and technical potential of the Republic. It is necessary to process and analyze examination data, draw up priority methods of future probabilities of Science and technology based on expert assessment, as well as evaluate them and prepare proposals.

**KEY WORDS:**

probability distribution, dynamics, decision making, criteria, expert assessment, multifactorial, alternatives, collection, highly qualified personnel, model, acceptable loading.

## INTRODUCTION.

Currently, the problems of clarity and decision-making, the activities of the institution are also of great importance. The global efficiency criterion that allows to evaluate this or that solution of a given problem is not clearly known. Serious difficulties in forming a general factor of efficiency arise not only due to the multifactorial nature, but also due to the different quality and variety of economic and social factors, the dynamics and consequences of the included measures. Among the tasks that arise, especially in the decision-making process, to be precise, it is more convenient to apply certain rules of decision-making (decision-making criteria) that establish an alternative relationship between individual alternatives from the set of available alternatives and the application of utility theory in a certain sense. [1,2].

## METHODS.

Wald criterion. Alternatives are evaluated from the pessimistic data of k-possible states. Comparing two alternatives  $a_i, a_j$  with certain utility functions  $u_{ik}, u_{jk}$ , the Wald criterion for all cases is written as follows:

$$a_i R a_j, \text{ if } \min_k u_{ik} \geq \min u_{ik}, \quad (1.1)$$

where R is a binary relation of weak priority ("not worse than").

Another criterion that is opposite to the Hurwitz criterion (1.1) and is more "optimistic" is the maximax criterion:

$$a_i R a_j, \text{ if } \max_k u_{ik} \geq \max_k u_{jk}, \quad (1.2)$$

To some extent, the Hurwitz criterion is a generalization of (1.1) and (1.2):

$$a_i R a_j, \text{ if } \alpha \min_k u_{ik} + (1 - \alpha) \max_k u_{ik} \geq \alpha \min_k u_{jk} + (1 - \alpha) \max_k u_{jk}, 0 \leq \alpha \leq 1. \quad (1.3)$$

At  $\alpha=1$  (1.3) Hurwitz criterion (1.1) goes to  $\alpha=0$  – (1.2).

The coefficient  $\alpha$  is selected depending on the nature of the problem. It "adjusts" to the "preparation variable", the risk, by selecting certain (1.3) criteria. The simplest problems that allow the optimal choice of  $\alpha$  are considered. But, in general, there is no unified state.

The Savidge criterion represents the "regret" between the true and the more efficient (h-choice) choice:

$$a_j R a_i, \text{ if } \min_k (u_{ik} - \max_h u_{hk}) \geq \min_k (u_{jk} - \max_h u_{hk}). \quad (1.4)$$

The expressions in parentheses in (1.4) are the sequence limits of the false choice. An acceptable solution is found under the condition of minimum "regret".

Laplace and Bayes criterion. In the Laplace criterion, all possible states of the alternatives are equally likely (state of complete certainty):

$$a_j R a_j, \text{ if } \sum_{k=1}^n u_{ik} \geq \sum_{k=1}^n u_{jk}, \quad (1.5)$$

The Bayes criterion is a generalization of (1.5) and is characteristic of decision-making situations involving risk in which the distribution of probabilities for different states (stochastic state) is known. In this case, the following formula is appropriate:

$$a_i R a_j, \text{ if } \sum_{k=1}^n p_k u_{ik} \geq \sum_{k=1}^n p_k u_{jk}, \quad (1.6)$$

where  $p_k$  is the probability of occurrence of state  $k$ .

Thus, according to the criterion (1.6), the alternative with the maximum mathematical expectation is chosen.

Hodges-Lehman criterion. This criterion, similar to the Hurwitz criterion (1.3), constitutes a union of the two above-mentioned (1.5), (1.1), precisely speaking:

$$a_i R a_j, \text{ if } \alpha \sum_{k=1}^n p_k u_{ik} + (1 - \alpha) \min_k u_{ik} \geq \alpha \sum_{k=1}^n p_k u_{jk} + (1 - \alpha) \min_k u_{jk}, \quad (1.7)$$

where  $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$ .

Criterion (1.7) is used when there is insufficient information about the law of probability distribution, but there is information about the frequency of occurrence of a certain state depending on the location of the coefficient  $\alpha$ .

Studying the various factors of decision-making problems directly leads to its deeper understanding and solution. In addition, the rational use of formal and informal methods, the use of

human skills and emotions, the "good" relationship between the employees of the organization, external experts and researchers - all this is the study and analysis of the process of identifying decision-making problems in organizational systems, the perspective of employees' activities. creates conditions for increasing plans and efficiency. [3]. The development of various specializations in the fields of science "Assessment of the relative importance" for different questions can have indicators that characterize the following:

- the general opinion of a group of experts on the importance of the development of various specialties;
- the level of agreement of experts;
- statistical indicators of the consensus index of expert opinion;
- the experts' generalized opinions on each question posed to them.

A rational choice of alternatives. It shows the models of 3 methodological solutions to the problem of choosing alternatives in an uncertain environment [4].

The first model assumes the availability of current information in the form of non-deterministic relations (a.n.m) of  $\mu_R(x, y)$ , preferences given in a set of  $U$  alternatives. The rational choice of  $x^* = \arg \max_y \mu_{x^* H, \Theta}(x, y)$  alternatives takes place in the special class of  $X^{ND}$ - Orlovsky

non-priority alternatives, whose relevance function (t.f)

$$\mu_{x^{nd}}(x) = 1 - \max_y \mu_{R^s}(x, y), \mu_{R^s}(x, y) = \max(0, \mu_R(x, y) - \mu_{R^s}(y, x)).$$

Within the framework of this model, a method of solving the issues of choosing educational methodological manuals is provided.

In the second model, the relative weights of  $R_i$  a.n.m are given by the corresponding coefficients  $\lambda_i$ . This allows to select alternatives

$$\mu_{Q_2}(x, y) = \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i \mu_{R_i}(x, y); Q_1 = R_1 \Lambda \dots \Lambda R_m^{\lambda_m}; X^{HI} = Q_1^{HI} \wedge Q_2^{HI}.$$

In the third model, the information about the relative weights of the factors is non-deterministic and is defined in the set of factors  $R_3$  a.n.m  $\pi = \{\pi_i\}$ . Decision-making takes place in two stages. At the first stage, the resulting  $R_p$  a.n.ms are constructed in the following form [5,6].

$$\mu_{R_p}(x_x, x_l) = \sup_{\pi_i, \pi_j \in \pi} \min(\mu_{R_i}(x_k), \mu_{R_j}(x_l), \mu_{R_3}(\pi_i, \pi_j)).$$

In the second step, it is the selection of alternatives when there is only one  $R_p$  a.n.m:

$$x^* = \arg \max_x \mu_{x^{nd}}(x) = \min(\mu_{R_p^{H..A}}(x), \mu_{R_p}(x, x)).$$

## RESULTS.

The given model is also used in accepting the issue of choosing alternatives characterized by a single aggregate indicator, taking into account the opinion of several experts. the number of organizations conducting scientific research and production;

1. Expenses for scientific and technical work by type of organizations;
2. Provision by the fund;

from  $X^{ND}$  based on the sum of current relations in the form of the sum of t.f.:

$$Q_1(1,2) = \min(1; 1; 1; 1; 1) = 0$$

etc. As a result, we find the following.

Step 2. We find  $Q_1^{ND}$

Step 2.1. The inverse matrix  $Q_1^{-1}$  is formed

Step 2.2

Calculate  $Q_1^0$ :

3. Development of scientific and technical products;

Non-fixed information  $R_1, R_2, R_3, R_4, R_5$  and  $R$ .

Step 1. Finding the elements of the matrix  $Q_1$ :

$$Q_1(1,1) = \min(1; 1; 1; 1; 1) = 1$$

$$\rho Q_1^0(1,1) = \max(0, 1 - 1) = \max(0, 0) = 0$$

$$\rho Q_1^0(1,2) = \max(0, 0 - 0) = \max(0, 0) = 0$$

etc. As a result, we get the following information.

Step 2.3. We find  $Q_1^{ND}$ :

$$\rho Q_1^{HI}(x_1) = 1 - \max(0;0;0;0;0;0;0;0;0;0;0;0;0;0) = 1;$$

$$\rho Q_1^{HI}(x_2) = 1 - \max(0;0;0;0;0;0;0;0;0;0;0;0;0;0) = 1;$$

$$\rho Q_1^{HI}(x_3) = 1 - \max(0;0;0;0;0;0;0;0;0;0;0,40;0;0,98) = 0,02$$

etc. ie

$$\begin{pmatrix} X_1 & X_2 & X_3 & X_4 & X_5 & X_6 & X_7 & X_8 & X_9 & X_{10} & X_{11} & X_{12} & X_{13} & X_{14} \\ 1: & 1: & 0,02: & 0,01: & 0,01: & 0,43: & 0,1: & 0,11: & 0,01: & 0,07: & 0,11: & 0,01: & 0,02: & 1: \end{pmatrix}$$

## DISCUSSION.

1. Thus, we determined that it is possible to choose the best environment from the 14 proposed regions by sorting through all the regions.

2. The concept of "resource-competency matching" is a key structural element of the entire future logic of seeing the problem-oriented assessment of the demand for highly skilled personnel. The purpose of developing the methodological apparatus is to determine the object and problem-oriented assessment tool. The indicators used by highly qualified employees and their measurement methods, the goals of scientific and technical problems are defined, and a model that processes the evaluation results is developed. In this approach, the main task is to study whether this or that - set of scientific goals corresponds to this or that - set of highly qualified personnel.

3. A tool for problem-based assessment is the measurement of given adaptation. Measurements of this type are carried out within the limits of processes formed by the use of mathematical apparatus and on the basis of heuristic processes.

## **CONCLUSION.**

1. An approach to predicting the number of scientific staff is proposed based on the regression model and expert assessment methods that allow taking into account the speed of the development of the scientific and technical potential of the republic.

2. A simulation system was developed to systematically study the demand for highly qualified personnel, which helps to implement a targeted programmatic approach in the Republic, to optimally load the Republic ITI and to identify specialties that can be trained only by central ITIs.

3. A criterion for assessing the demand for highly qualified personnel has been developed and a selection of available factors (criteria) has been made.

4. Expert evaluation methods and decision-making criteria have been developed in systematic studies of the demand for highly qualified personnel.

Further prospects in the study.

The development of these methods, in contrast to previous published work, is based on a series of interconnected problems, i.e., forecasting and evaluation of optimal R&D activities, decision making, simulation modeling, software tools, mathematical models, and modern information technology methods in the context of the market mechanism. led to the solution by grading methods. In particular, despite its simplicity, the information supply of a systematic study of the requirements for highly qualified personnel has advantages in a number of cases. It is these features that have ensured their widespread use in solving practical problems in the management and processing of information on systematic analysis, training of personnel.

Taking into account the new dynamics in the study of strategic priorities in science naturally leads to a revision of the priorities of science. Achieving the advanced aspects of the science requires that the staff potential of the science comply with modern requirements, conducting systematic research

on the study of the demand for highly qualified personnel of the science. The training of highly qualified personnel largely determines the future potential of our science. That is why solving the problems of their training is one of the main issues in the field of science management.

Developed models and algorithms for the systematic application of the demand for highly qualified personnel were achieved on the computer. The results of the calculations showed

the workability and efficiency of the developed simulation system. The developed methodological cases, models, algorithms and software can be used in the creation of automated management systems for the training of highly qualified personnel in other republics.

## **Reference List**

1. Beshelev S.D., Gurvich F.G. Mathematical-static methods of expert evaluations. -M.: Statistics. (1980). -314 p.
2. Evlanov L.G., Kutuzov V.A. Expert evaluations in management. -M.: Economics. (1978).
3. Obidov A.O., Mamatkhodjaeva T.N. Determination of priority scientific specialties by the method of cluster analysis on the basis of promising directions of R&D // Issues of modelling and informatisation of the economy. -Tashkent, (1991). - Issue. 2. - p.115-122.
4. Orlovskiy S.A. Problems of decision-making at fuzzy initial information. -M.: Science. (1981). - 208 p.
5. Mirzarakhmedova A.H. Modelling of scientific and technical potential on the basis of information technologies. //VI All-Russian Conference of Young Scientists on Mathematical Modelling and Information Technologies (with participation of foreign scientists): 2005 – Kemerovo.
6. Mirzarakhmedova A.H. Development of information and dialogue system for assessing the need for highly qualified personnel. // Vestnik TashGTU. 2005.№3. - p.25-28.