

COGNITIVE PARADIGM IMPACT ON THOUGHT STUDY

Niyazova Gulnorakhon Gulyamovna

associate professor (PhD), Tashkent State University of Uzbek Language and Literature, ORCID ID: 0000-0001-5905-2129

***Annotation:** The article examines the main provisions of the cognitive paradigm in linguistics. The efforts of E. V. Rakhilina, A. P. Chudinova and E. S. Kubryakova on comparing the terminology and tasks of various schools within the framework of the cognitive approach are analyzed. Particular attention is paid to the study of the mechanisms of formation of the conceptual picture of the world, the processes of conceptualization of reality and methods of objectification of concepts in language. The importance of research aimed at combining different approaches and schools within the cognitive paradigm is emphasized.*

***Key words:** cognitive paradigm, conceptualization of reality, mechanisms for forming a conceptual picture of the world, objectification of concepts in language, comparison of approaches and schools.*

***Аннотация:** Статья рассматривает основные положения когнитивной парадигмы в языкознании. Анализируются усилия Е.В.Рахилиной, А.П.Чудинова и Е.С.Кубряковой по сопоставлению терминологии и задач различных школ в рамках когнитивного подхода. Особое внимание уделяется изучению механизмов формирования концептуальной картины мира, процессов концептуализации действительности и способов объективации концептов в языке. Подчеркивается важность исследований, направленных на объединение различных подходов и школ в рамках когнитивной парадигмы.*

***Ключевые слова:** когнитивная парадигма, концептуализация реальности, механизмы формирования концептуальной картины мира, объективация концептов в языке, сравнение подходов и школ.*

Introduction

In the development of linguistics and other fields of science, there are consistent changes in scientific approaches called “scientific paradigms.” The term “scientific paradigm” was introduced by T. Kuhn in his work “The Structure of Scientific Revolutions”. A paradigm is defined as a set of scientific achievements that serve as a model for posing problems and solving them in the scientific community for a certain period.

Cognitive linguistics is recognized as one of the central branches of cognitive science - the science of human cognition. The term "cognitive" comes from the English word "cognitive", comes from Latin and Greek, and is associated with the

concepts of knowledge, understanding, and thinking. Knowledge of the world and reality, perception of it, reflection of it in consciousness and language, communication through language, transmission of information and its reception is a complex phenomenon. Cognitive linguistics is not limited to the theory of knowledge in philosophy but connects language with thinking and scientifically explores the holistic connection of the psychological, biological, and neurophysiological aspects of its formation with social, cultural, and linguistic phenomena.

Periods of development of the cognitive paradigm

There are three scientific paradigms in linguistics: it includes comparative-historical, systemic-structural, and cognitive (anthropocentric) paradigms.

The comparative historical paradigm was the dominant paradigm in the 19th century and was based on the use of the comparative historical method in the study of languages. The systems structure paradigm focuses on the study of individual units of language, such as words. The cognitive paradigm is based on the principle of anthropocentrism and recognizes that language cannot be understood and explained outside the context of its creator and user.

Already in the 19th century, in the first theoretical works on linguistics, ideas related to the cognitive side of language were expressed. W. Wundt, A. A. Early researchers such as Potebnya and G. Steinthal looked at the psychological aspects of language and its role in receiving and processing information. A. A. Potebnya understood and understood the importance of language in the process of cognition and development of human knowledge about the world around him. He viewed language as “a means of apperception and association, based on ideas about phenomena expressed through language” [A. A. Potebnya, 1993]. This is based on his discussion of concepts that remain in the background and concepts that come to the fore and participate in the formation of new ideas.

Baudouin de Courtenay, in his research, expressed thoughts related to the cognitive aspect of language. He emphasized that “linguistic thinking includes knowledge about various spheres of being and non-being, the material and individual psychological and social world” [Baudouin de Courtenay, 1963]. It reflects the understanding that language is a means of expressing and systematizing our cognitive processes and knowledge.

Cognitive science, including cognitive linguistics, studies the structure and functioning of human knowledge. It arose because of attempts to create artificial intelligence, but it turned out that it could not fully explain human cognitive processes. Therefore, cognitive science attracts experts from various fields for interdisciplinary research, such as philosophy, psychology, neurophysiology, linguistics, and artificial intelligence.

Cognitive linguistics includes many theoretical approaches such as prototype theory, conceptual metaphor theory, ethnocultural semantics, spatial structure and phonological theory, cognitive grammar, and frame/frame theory. Such approaches examine various aspects of language, its structure, and semantics, as well as the interaction of language and thought.

In general, the cognitive paradigm in linguistics recognizes that language and thought are closely related and examines how language is structured and reflects our cognitive processes and knowledge about the world.

Cognitive linguistics focuses on the relationship between language and cognitive processes, as well as the structure of knowledge and its expression in language. Research in this area aims to understand how language knowledge is related to the perception, knowledge, thinking, behavior, and practical activities of the subject. This knowledge is expressed in the form of concepts, ideas, images, concepts, and models that have a subjective and ethnic identity. Cognitive linguistics is developing in Uzbekistan, Russia and abroad. In particular, the main centers of cognitive linguistics are such foreign centers as the University of California at Berkeley and the San Diego Center for Cognitive Science at the State University of New York at Buffalo. In Europe, the active development of cognitive linguistics is observed in Germany and the Netherlands.

In Russia there are also effective educational schools located in various cities, such as Moscow, St. Petersburg, Volgograd, Voronezh, Irkutsk, Kemerovo, Tambov, Chelyabinsk. Although there are no such cognitive schools of cognitive linguistics in Uzbekistan, several linguists have conducted many effective studies in the field of cognitive linguistics. It is very appropriate to note the work of Sh. Safarov, D. Ashurova, A. Pardaev and M. Khakimov in this sphere. This center brings together research carried out by schools and researchers with the common goal of explaining linguistic phenomena and categories from a psychological point of view and relating linguistic forms to one's own mental imagination and knowledge, reflecting them as structures of knowledge, includes various areas of research and schools of thought that seek to explain through processes and relate linguistic forms to mental representations and knowledge.

In fact, cognitive linguistics is a diverse field of study that varies in its terms and methodology. The lack of a unified and generally accepted definition of the term “cognitive” is one of the difficulties in describing the main trends within the cognitive paradigm, according to A. A. Zalevskaya, E. S. Kubryakov, Z. D. Popov, I. A. Sternin and R. M. Some researchers, such as Frumkina, point to this. Although the terms “cognitive” and “cognitive paradigm” came to Uzbekistan much later than to the USA, Europe and Russia, the research of the above-mentioned linguists is of great importance for the development of this field. Sh. Research by scientists such

as Safarov, D. Ashurova, A. Mamatov led to various discussions about the development of various categories of cognitive linguistics, because of which more attention was paid to research in this area.

Some researchers argue that the term "cognitive" is not clearly defined and is ambiguous. R. M. Frumkina, for example, noted that the term "cognitive" is practically devoid of content [R. M. Frumkin, R. M. Frumkin], E. S. Kubryakova talks about the ambiguity of the term "cognitive" and the concept of cognitive linguistics [Kubryakova, 1999]. As a result, many so-called "cognitive" studies are not, and some semantic studies not directly concerned with cognitive tasks perform those tasks.

Thus, the complexity of defining the term "cognitive" and its ambiguity create difficulties when considering the main trends of cognitive linguistics.

E. V. Rakhilina and A. P. Chudinov seeks to compare the terminology and tasks of different schools of thought in the context of the cognitive paradigm, to identify common features and differences between them, and to create a common language for their mutual understanding. [E. V. Rakhilina, A. P. Chudinov, 2000] This allows us to identify common points between the cognitive paradigm and traditional cognitive-semantic orientations, which were previously hidden behind the specific terminology of each approach. Basic terms of cognitive linguistics: intelligence, knowledge, conceptualization, conceptual system, cognition, linguistic picture of the world, cognitive basis, mental images, cognitive model, categorization, verbalization, mentality, cultural constants, concept, worldview, concept sphere, national cultural space, and others. All these concepts are related to human cognitive activity, that is, as a result, a person makes a certain decision or acquires knowledge. Cognitive activity refers to the processes that accompany information processing and consists in the creation of special structures of consciousness. Language (speech) activity is one of the types of cognitive activity.

Study of various fields of science within the cognitive paradigm

Within the cognitive paradigm, various areas of linguistics, such as word formation, morphology, and syntax, are actively studied. Particular attention is paid to the study of semantics; various types of semantics are being developed, such as conceptual, prototype and framework.

E. S. Kubryakova in her research examines several problems that require a cognitive approach. One of them concerns the relationship between conceptual systems and linguistic, scientific, and everyday ideas about the world. Another problem is the relationship between the cognitive or conceptual structures of our minds and the units of language. The role of language in the processes of cognition, perception of the world, as well as its conceptualization and categorization are also studied in the works of E. Kubryakova. [E. S. Kubryakova, 1999]

Uzbek linguist Sh. Safarov, in his research within the framework of cognitive linguistics, expresses his opinion on cognitive linguistics as follows; “The object of cognitive linguistics is formed by the process of linguistic thinking; with this approach, the stock of knowledge accumulated in language consists of a set of semantic categories, and their role in the conceptualization of new information born into the experience of reality becomes clear”. [Sh. Safarov, 2013] Thus, the research aimed at comparing and combining different approaches and schools within the cognitive paradigm is important for determining the commonality and features of this paradigm and traditional semantic orientations.

The cognitive paradigm is a new approach to language and the process of conceptualizing reality. He views language as a reflection of the cognitive processes involved in perceiving, thinking, understanding, and conceptualizing the world. The main area of interest of the cognitive paradigm is the study of the mechanisms of formation of the conceptual picture of the world and specific concepts, as well as their reflection and objectification in language. Research in this area is aimed at understanding how people organize and structure their perception of the world, what categories and concepts are used to describe phenomena, and how they are reflected in linguistic expressions.

The cognitive paradigm examines how we use language to conceptualize and organize our knowledge, how we construct structures of meaning and the connections between them, and how these structures reflect our beliefs about the world. This includes the study of conceptual metaphors, conceptual metonymies, prototypical categories, frames, and other cognitive mechanisms that accompany the understanding and expression of language. After all, as the linguist D. Ashurova described, “the use of language involves not only linguistic knowledge (paradigmatic, syntagmatic, and contextual connections), but also interaction and purposeful aspects and knowledge” [D. Ashurova 2018]. Therefore, cognitive science, studying the process of human cognition of the world and related phenomena, primarily relies on language. Because the relationship between language and thinking occupies a particularly important place in the knowledge of the world and forms the basis of the entire cognitive mechanism in the human mind. Cognitive linguistics studies theoretical issues in the study of its linguistic mechanisms.

Summary

In conclusion, we can say that the mechanisms of conceptualization of reality and its objectification in language are one of the main areas of research within the modern cognitive paradigm. The cognitive paradigm when studying the process of human cognition and related phenomena is based primarily on language. Since the connection between language and thinking has a particularly important place in the knowledge of the world, it forms the basis of the entire cognitive mechanism of

human consciousness. Cognitive linguistics studies theoretical issues in the study of its linguistic mechanisms.

References:

1. Abduazizov A. Tilshunosligimiz istiqbollari // Filologiya masalalari. - T., 2011. - №3. — B. 22-26
2. Ashurova D. U, Galieva M. R. Cognitive Linguistics [Kognitiv lingvistika]. O‘quv qo‘llanma. – Toshkent: 2018.
3. Бодуэн де Куртене, И. А. Избранные труды по общему языкознанию [Текст] / Boduen de Kurtene. – М.: AN SSSR, 1963. – Т. С. 3 – 393.
4. Evans V. & Green M. Cognitive Linguistics. An introduction. Edingburg: Edingburg University press, 2006.
5. Кравченко, А. В. Язык и восприятие: Когнитивные аспекты языковой категоризации [Текст] / А. В. Кравченко. – Иркутск: Изд-во Иркутского ун-та, 1996. 159 с.
6. Кубрякова, Е. С. Семантика в когнитивной лингвистике (о концепции контента и формате его объективации в языке) [Текст] / Е. С. Кубрякова // Известия РАН. – Сер. горит. я яз. – 1999. – Т. 58. – № 5–6. – С. 2–13.
7. Кун, Т. Структура научных революций [Текст] / Т. Кун. – М.: Прогресс, 1977. – 287 с.
8. Потебня, А. А. Мысль и язык [Текст] / А. А. Потебня. – Киев, 1993.
9. Раксилина, Е. В. О тенденциях в развитии когнитивной семантики [Текст] / Е. В. Раксилина // Известия РАН. Сер. горит. я яз. – 2000. – Т. 59. – № 3. – С. 2–17.
10. Safarov Sh. Kognitiv tilshunosik. “Sangzor” nashriyoti. B. 12-16.
11. Фрумкина, Р. М. «Теории среднего уровня» в современной лингвистике [Текст] / Р. М. Фрумкина // Вопросы языкознания. – 1996. – № 2. – С. 54–78.
12. Чудинов, А. П. Россия в метафорическом зеркале: Когнитивное исследование политической метафоры (1991–2000) [Текст] / А. П. Чудинов. – Екатеринбург: УрГПУ, 2001. – 237 с.
13. Niyazova, G. G. Concept of Discourse in Modern Linguistics: Special Issue on Modern Trends in Science, Technology and Economy// May 2023. - www.ijtsrd.com. ID: IJTSRD57377
14. Niyazova, G. G. Exploring the dimensions of discourse classification// Science and innovation International scientific journal//Volume 2 ISSUE 12 December 2023. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.10359315>
15. Niyazova, G. G. Main Aspects of Discourse Research in Modern Linguistics// Miasto Przyszłości. ISSN-L: 2544-980X, Volume 44 2024